

§1 Extenders

- 1 -

Def $U \subset \mathcal{P}(K)$ is suitable for κ iff

(a) κ is p.r. closed

(b) Let $A_1, \dots, A_m \in U$; $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m \in \kappa$. Let $B \subset O_n$ be p.r. in predicates A_1, \dots, A_m and the parameters $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m \in \kappa$.

Then $B \cap \kappa \in U$.

It follows that if U is suitable, then:

(i) $\kappa \in U$

(ii) $A, B \in U \rightarrow A \cap B, A \cup B, A \setminus B \in U$

(iii) $\nu \in \kappa \rightarrow \nu, \{\nu\} \in U$.

It we set: $U^m = \{A^{(m)} \mid A \in U\}$,
where $A^{(m)} = \{\langle \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \rangle \mid \langle \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \rangle \in A\}$,
then it follows from (b) that if
 $A_1, \dots, A_m \in U$ and $B \subset O_n^m$ is p.r.
in A_1, \dots, A_m + parameters from κ ,
then $B \cap \kappa^m \in U^m$.

Def Let $U \subset \mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ be suitable for κ
Let $\kappa < \lambda$, where λ is p.r. closed.

$F: U \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ is an extender on U
with length λ iff whenever

$B_{A_1, \dots, A_n} \subset On$ is uniformly p.r. in
 $A_1, \dots, A_n \in U$ and parameters from κ
then $F(\kappa \cap B_{\vec{A}}) = \lambda \cap B_{F(\vec{A})}$.

We get:

(i) $F(\nu) = \nu$, $F(\{\nu\}) = \{\nu\}$ for $\nu < \kappa$

(ii) $F(\kappa) = \lambda$, $F(\emptyset) = \emptyset$

(iii) $F(A \cap B) = F(A) \cap F(B)$, $F(A \cup B) = F(A) \cup F(B)$

$F(A \setminus B) = F(A) \setminus F(B)$

(iv) $A \subset B \iff F(A) \subset F(B)$

(v) $\kappa \cap F(A) = A$, since

$\nu \in A \iff \{\nu\} \subset A \iff \{\nu\} \subset F(A) \iff \nu \in F(A)$

We can extend F to F^n on U^n by

setting: $F^n(A^n) = F(A)^n$,

We write $F(A)$ for $F^m(A)$ when $A \in U^n$. Then:

(vi) $F(\kappa^m \cap B_{\vec{A}}) = \lambda^m \cap B_{F(\vec{A})}$ if $B_{\vec{A}} \subset O_n^m$ is a unif. p.n. in $A_1, \dots, A_n \in I$ and parameters from κ .

(vii) $F(\nu_1 \times \dots \times \nu_m) = \nu_1 \times \dots \times \nu_m$;
 $F(\langle \nu_1, \dots, \nu_m \rangle) = \langle \nu_1, \dots, \nu_m \rangle$
for $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m \in \kappa$.

(Note that $F^n \upharpoonright \{ \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle \} = \text{id}$ for $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \kappa$.)

Def Let F be an extender on U of length λ . $\vec{F} = \langle F_\alpha \mid \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ is the associated hypermasure where $F_\alpha = \{ X \mid \alpha \in F(X) \}$.

Def $\vec{F} = \langle F_\alpha \mid \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ is a hypermasure iff it is associated with an extender - i.e., $F_\alpha \in U$ for $\alpha < \lambda$ and F is an extender, where $F(X) = \{ \alpha \mid X \in F_\alpha \}$.

Def $M = \langle M, \in, A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle$ is suitable iff M is transitive, and closed and $M \models \forall x \forall \alpha \forall f (f: \alpha \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} x,$

Def M is suitable for κ iff M is suitable, $\kappa \in M$ is p.s. closed and $\bigcup_{\kappa} A_1, \dots, A_n \in M$ whenever $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \cap M$. (Hence $U = \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \cap M$ is suitable),

Def Let M be suitable.

$$\pi : M \rightarrow_F N \text{ iff}$$

(a) N is transitive

(b) $\pi : M \rightarrow_{\Sigma_0} N$ cofinally

(c) $\kappa = \text{crit}(\pi)$, where M is suitable for κ

(d) $F = \langle \lambda \cap \pi(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \cap M \rangle$, where $\kappa < \lambda \leq \pi(\kappa)$, λ is p.n.c. closed, and

(e) $N = \text{the } \Sigma_0 \text{ closure of } \text{rng}(\pi) \cup \lambda$.

(Equivalently to (e):

$$N = \{ \pi(f)(\zeta) \mid \zeta < \lambda, f: \kappa \rightarrow M, f \in M \}$$

Lemma 1 If $\pi : M \rightarrow_F N$, $\kappa = \text{crit}(\pi)$,

then F is an extender of length $\lambda = F(\kappa)$ on $\mathcal{P}(\kappa) \cap M$ and N, π are uniquely determined by F .

pf.

(1) $F \restriction \kappa = \text{id}$, $F(\kappa) = \lambda$

(2) $F(\kappa \cap B_{A_1, \dots, A_m}) = \lambda \cap B_{F(A_1) \cup \dots \cup F(A_m)}$

for B unif. p.n.c. in $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \cap M$,

since $\pi(\kappa \cap B_A) = \pi(\kappa) \cap B_{\pi(A)}$,

and $\lambda \cap B_{\pi(A)} = \lambda \cap B_{\lambda \cap \pi(A)} =$
 $= \lambda \cap B_F(A)$, since λ is
 p.r. closed. QED (2)

Hence F is an extender. For
 Σ_0 formulae φ we have for them:

$$(4) F(\{\vec{z} \in \kappa^n \mid M \models \varphi(f_1(z_1), \dots, f_m(z_m))\}) =$$

$$= \lambda \cap \{\vec{z} \in \pi(\kappa)^n \mid N \models \varphi(\pi(f_1)(z_1), \dots, \pi(f_m)(z_m))\}$$

$$= \{\vec{z} \in \lambda^n \mid N \models \varphi(\pi(f_1)(z_1), \dots, \pi(f_m)(z_m))\}$$

for $f_i: \kappa \rightarrow M, f_i \in M$ ($i=1, \dots, m$).

Since $N = \{\pi(f)(z) \mid f: \kappa \rightarrow M, f \in M, z < \kappa\}$

it follows that N, π are
 uniquely determined by F .

QED (Lemma 1)

Def $\pi: M \rightarrow_F N$ weakly iff

(b) - (e) hold as above and

(a') $N = \langle |N|, \in^N, A^N \rangle$ is s-t,

wf core (N) is transitive and

$\lambda \subset \text{wf core}(N)$.

[This involves a slight abuse of notation.] Clearly the same proof yields:

Corollary 2 If $\pi: M \rightarrow_{\mathbb{F}} N$ weakly, $\kappa = \text{crit}(\pi)$, then F is an extender of length $\lambda = F(\kappa)$ on $\mathcal{F}(\kappa) \cap M$ and N, π are uniquely determined by F (up to isomorphism).

Finally, using an "ultrapower" construction:

Lemma 3 Let M be suitable for κ , $U = \mathcal{F}(\kappa) \cap M$ and F an extender on U of length λ . There are π, N s.t. $\pi: M \rightarrow_{\mathbb{F}} N$ weakly.

Prf.

Define a term model $ID = ID(M, F)$

by: $ID = \langle D, \cong, \hat{E}, \hat{A} \rangle$ where:

$$D = \{ \langle \alpha, f \rangle \mid f \in M, f: \kappa \rightarrow M, \alpha < \lambda \}$$

$$\langle \alpha, f \rangle \cong \langle \beta, g \rangle \iff \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in F(\{\langle \zeta, \xi \rangle \mid f(\zeta) = g(\xi)\})$$

$$\text{" } \tilde{E} \text{" } \iff \text{" } (\text{" } \in \text{" }$$

$$\tilde{A} \langle \alpha, f \rangle \iff \alpha \in F(\{\zeta \mid f(\zeta) \in A\})$$

By ind on Σ_0 formulae φ we get

For Thm:

$$(1) \text{IDF } \varphi(\langle \alpha_1, f_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \alpha_m, f_m \rangle) \iff$$

$$\iff \vec{\alpha} \in F(\{\vec{\zeta} \mid \text{ME } \varphi(f_1(\zeta_1), \dots, f_m(\zeta_m))\})$$

$$(2) \langle \alpha, f \rangle \tilde{E} \langle \beta, \text{id} \rangle \implies$$

$$\implies \forall \gamma < \beta \quad \langle \alpha, f \rangle \cong \langle \gamma, \text{id} \rangle$$

pf.:

$$\text{Set } I = I_{f, \text{id}} = \{\langle \zeta, \xi \rangle \mid f(\zeta) = \xi\}$$

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in F(\{\langle \zeta, \xi \rangle \mid f(\zeta) < \xi\}) \iff$$

$$\iff \text{" } \in F(\{\text{" } \mid \forall \gamma < \xi \quad f(\zeta) = \gamma\})$$

$$\iff \text{" } \in \{\langle \zeta, \xi \rangle \mid \forall \gamma < \xi \quad \langle \gamma, \xi \rangle \in F(I)\}$$

Hence there is $\gamma < \beta$ s.t.

$$\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle \in F(I); \text{ i.e. } \langle \alpha, f \rangle \cong \langle \text{id}, \gamma \rangle$$

QED (2)

-9-

By (1), \cong is a congruence relation for ID . Let $p: ID \rightarrow ID/\cong$ be the natural projection. Let $\sigma: (ID/\cong) \xrightarrow{\sim} N$, where $wfc(N)$ is transitive. Set:

$$[t] = \sigma p(t) \text{ for } t \in ID$$

$$\pi(x) = [\langle 0, \text{cut}_x \rangle] \text{ for } x \in M.$$

(3) $\pi: M \xrightarrow{\Sigma_0} N$ cofinally.

prf.

Σ_0 preservation follows by Los Thm.

Cofinality follows by:

$$[v, f] \subset \pi(\text{Union}(f)) \text{ in } N.$$

QED(3)

(4) $[d, id] = d$ for $d < \lambda$

prf.

Set: $\tilde{d} = \langle d, id \rangle$. Then $\tilde{d} \in wfc(ID)$ by (2) + hence. $[\tilde{d}] \in wfc(N)$, where $wfc(N)$ is transitive. Hence

$$[\tilde{d}] = \{[t] \mid ID \models t \in \tilde{d}\} \text{ for } d < \lambda.$$

By induction on d , using (2), we get:

$$[\tilde{d}] = d. \quad \text{QED(4)}$$

$$(5) [\alpha, f] = \pi(f)(\alpha)$$

pf.

$$\text{Let } I = I_{ff} = \{ \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \mid f(\xi) = f(\eta) \}$$

$$[\alpha, f] = \pi(f)(\alpha) \iff$$

$$\iff \text{ID} \models (\langle \alpha, f \rangle = \langle 0, \text{cmt}_f \rangle \langle \alpha, \text{id} \rangle)$$

$$\iff \langle 0, \alpha, \alpha \rangle \in F(\{ \langle \xi, \eta, \gamma \rangle \mid f(\xi) = f(\eta) \})$$

$$\iff \text{''} \in \{ \langle \xi, \eta, \gamma \rangle \mid \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \in F(I) \}$$

$$\iff \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \in F(I) \iff \langle \alpha, f \rangle \cong \langle \alpha, f \rangle.$$

$$\iff [\alpha, f] = [\alpha, f]. \quad \text{QED (5)}$$

Hence!

$$(6) N = \{ \pi(f)(\alpha) \mid \alpha < \lambda, f: \kappa \rightarrow M, f \in M \}$$

$$(7) \pi \upharpoonright \kappa = \text{id}$$

pf.

$$\langle 0, \nu \rangle \in F(\{ \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \mid \text{cmt}_\nu(\xi) = \text{id}(\eta) \})$$

for $\nu < \kappa$, since $F(A) \cap \kappa = A$,

$$\text{Hence } \pi(\nu) = [0, \text{cmt}_\nu] = [\nu, \text{id}] = \nu.$$

QED (7)

$$(8) \alpha < \pi(\kappa) \text{ in } N \text{ for } \alpha < \lambda$$

pf.

$$[\alpha, \text{id}] < [0, \text{cmt}_\kappa], \text{ since}$$

$$\langle \alpha, 0 \rangle \in F(\{ \langle \xi, \tau \rangle \mid \text{id}(\xi) < \kappa \}) = F(\kappa) = \lambda$$

QED (8)

Thus:

$$(4) \kappa = \text{crit}(\pi)$$

It remains only to show:

$$(10) F(A) = \lambda \cap \pi(A) \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa \cap M, \mu).$$

Let $\alpha < \lambda$. Then $d \in \pi(A) \iff$

$$\iff [\alpha, \text{id}] \in [0, \text{cut}_A] \iff$$

$$\iff \langle \alpha, 0 \rangle \in F(\{\langle \zeta, \zeta \rangle \mid \zeta \in A\}) \iff d \in F(A)$$

QED (Lemma 3)

Lemma 4 Let $\pi: M \xrightarrow{F} N$ where $\kappa = \text{crit}(\pi)$ is the largest cardinal in M . Assume $F(\kappa) = \pi(\kappa)$. Then $\langle N, F \rangle$ is amenable.

|p|.

Let $x \in N$. Claim $x \cap F \in N$.

Let $x \subset \pi(X)$. Then $x \cap F = x \cap (\pi(X) \cap F)$ and it suffices

to show: Claim $\pi(X) \cap F \in N$.

But F is a function and $\langle a, F(a) \rangle \in \pi(X) \rightarrow F(a) \in U^m \pi(X) =$

$= \pi(U^m X)$. Hence $F \cap \pi(X) \subset$

$\subset F \cap U^m X$ and it suffices

To show: Claim $F \upharpoonright X \in \mathcal{N}$ for $X \in \mathcal{M}$.

Assume w.l.o.g. $F \upharpoonright X \neq \emptyset$ (i.e., $\#(\kappa) \cap X \neq \emptyset$). Let $f \in \mathcal{M}$ wt. $f: \kappa \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \#(\kappa) \cap X$. Then

$\pi(f): \pi(\kappa) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \#(\pi(\kappa)) \cap \pi(X)$.

Moreover, $f = \langle \pi(f) \upharpoonright (\alpha) \cap \kappa \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle \in \mathcal{N}$

But $F(f(\alpha)) = \pi(f(\alpha)) = \pi(f) \upharpoonright (\alpha)$

for $\alpha < \kappa$. Hence:

$$F \upharpoonright X = \{ \langle f(\alpha), \pi(f) \upharpoonright (\alpha) \rangle \mid \alpha < \kappa \} \in \mathcal{N}.$$

QED (Lemma 4)

Def Let F be an extender on U of length λ , $\kappa = \text{crit}(F)$. F is weakly amenable iff whenever $X \in U^2$, $\delta < \lambda$, then $\{ \xi \mid X \upharpoonright \xi \in F_\delta \} \in U$.

Def Let $\pi: M \rightarrow N$ weakly.

F is Σ_1 -amenable wrt. M iff

iff F_δ is $\Sigma_1(M)$ for $\delta < \lambda$.

Note Either of the properties:
weakly amenable and Σ_1 amenable
can hold without the other. Both
can fail.

If $\pi: M \rightarrow_F N$ weakly, it follows
easily that;

(a) $\pi(\kappa) \cap M \subset N$, since $X = \kappa \cap \pi(X)$
for $X \in \pi(\kappa) \cap M$.

(b) $\pi(\kappa) \cap N \subset M$ iff F is weakly
amenable.

If F is an extender on U of length
 λ , then whenever $\pi: M \rightarrow_F N$ weakly,
the ordinals $< \pi(\kappa)$ in N will all
have the form $[\alpha, f]$, where $\alpha < \lambda$
and $f \in U^2$, $f: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$. Moreover,
every such $[\alpha, f]$ is an ordinal
 $< \pi(\kappa)$ in N . Hence $\lambda = \pi(\kappa)$
iff $[\alpha, f] \in \lambda$ for all such f .
We define:

Def Let F be an extender on U of length λ . F is whole iff whenever $f \in U^2$, $f: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$, $\alpha < \lambda$ then there is $\beta < \lambda$ s.t. $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in F(\{ \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \mid f(\xi) = \eta \})$.

By the above remarks it is obvious that:

Lemma 5 Let $\pi: M \xrightarrow{F} N$ weakly, where F is at κ, λ (i.e. $\kappa = \text{crit}(F)$, $F(\kappa) = \lambda$), F is whole iff $\lambda = \pi(\kappa)$. [Hence $\pi(\kappa) \in \text{wfc}(N)$ if F is whole.]

We also note:

Fact Let U, U' be suitable for κ , where $U' \subset U$. Let F be an extender on U . Then $F \upharpoonright U'$ is an extender on U' .

Def. F is an extender on M at κ, λ iff F is an extender on $\mathcal{P}(\kappa) \cap M$ of length λ , where M is suitable for κ .

Def $N = \text{Ult}(M, F)$ iff $\forall \pi (\pi: M \rightarrow_F N)$.

Def $N = \langle J_{\alpha}^A, F \rangle$ is coherent iff
 iff J_{α}^A is acceptable, F is a whole
 extender on J_{α}^A for an $\bar{\alpha} < \alpha$
 s.t. $\kappa = \text{crit}(F)$ is the largest
 cardinal in $J_{\bar{\alpha}}^A$, and
 and $J_{\alpha}^A = \text{Ult}(J_{\bar{\alpha}}^A, F)$.

Lemma 6 Let $N = \langle J_{\alpha}^A, F \rangle$ be coherent

Then:

(a) N is amenable

(b) Let $\bar{\alpha} =$ the least $\bar{\alpha}$ s.t.

$\text{dom}(F) = \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \cap J_{\bar{\alpha}}^A$. Then κ
 is the largest cardinal in $J_{\bar{\alpha}}^A$

(c) Let $\lambda = F(\kappa)$. Then λ is the
 largest cardinal in N .

(d) Let $\bar{\beta} < \bar{\alpha}$ s.t. κ is the largest
 cardinal in $J_{\bar{\beta}}^A$. Let $\omega_{\bar{\beta}} = \sup F'' \omega_{\bar{\beta}}$.

Set $\bar{F} = F \upharpoonright J_{\bar{\beta}}^A$. Then $\langle J_{\bar{\beta}}^A, \bar{F} \rangle$
 is coherent.

pf.

(a) is immediate by Lemma 4

(b) & (c) are trivial. We prove (d)

Set: $\bar{\pi} = \pi \upharpoonright J_{\beta^-}^A$, Then:

(1) $\bar{\pi}: J_{\beta^-}^A \rightarrow_{\Sigma_0} J_{\beta}^A$ cofinally

(2) $\kappa = \text{cut}(\bar{\pi})$, $\lambda = \bar{\pi}(\kappa) = \bar{F}(\kappa)$

(3) $\bar{F} = \bar{\pi} \upharpoonright \#(\kappa) \upharpoonright J_{\beta^-}^A$

It remains only to show:

(4) $J_{\beta}^A = \text{the } \Sigma_0 \text{ closure of } \text{rng}(\bar{\pi}) \cup \lambda$.

pf.

Let $x \in J_{\beta}^A$, $x \in \bar{\pi}(X)$. Let

$f \in J_{\beta}^A$, $f: \kappa \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} X$. Then

$\bar{\pi}(f) \upharpoonright: \lambda \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \bar{\pi}(X)$ and

$x = \bar{\pi}(f)(\alpha)$ for an $\alpha < \lambda$.

QED (Lemma 6)

Lemma 7 There is a \mathcal{Q} -formula φ s.t. if $N = \langle J_d^A, F \rangle$ is acceptable, then N is coherent iff $N \models \varphi$,
 pf.

φ is the statement that there are arbitrarily large $\bar{\zeta}$ s.t.

(a) $F \cap S_{\bar{\zeta}}^A$ is a fcu and there is exactly one pair of ordinals

$$\langle \kappa, \lambda \rangle \in F \cap S_{\bar{\zeta}}^A;$$

$$(b) J_{\kappa}^A \models ZF^-, J_{\lambda}^A \models ZF^-$$

(c) If $\langle a, b \rangle \in F \cap S_{\bar{\zeta}}^A$, then $a < \kappa$ and $b < \lambda$.

(d) Let $\langle \langle a_1, b_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle a_n, b_n \rangle \rangle \in S_{\bar{\zeta}}^A$ s.t. $\langle a_i, b_i \rangle \in F$ for $i=1, \dots, n$.

Let a be $\sum_1 \langle J_{\kappa}^A, \vec{a} \rangle$ in the parameter d + let b be $\sum_1 \langle J_{\lambda}^A, \vec{b} \rangle$ in the same parameter. Then $\langle a, b \rangle \in F$.

(e) There are $\bar{\zeta} < \lambda, \bar{\zeta} < \xi > \bar{\zeta}$ s.t.

$$\text{dom}(F \cap S_{\bar{\zeta}}^A) \cap \text{dom}(F \cap S_{\bar{\xi}}^A)$$

by the same definition

(f) There is $\bar{\aleph} \geq \aleph$ and if $\beta < \bar{\aleph}$, $\beta < \lambda$, $f \in S_{\bar{\aleph}}^A$, $f: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ and $\bar{a} \in \text{dom}(F \restriction S_{\bar{\aleph}}^A)$ where $\bar{a} = \{ \langle \delta, \gamma \rangle \in \kappa \mid f(\delta) = \gamma \}$, then there is $a \in S_{\bar{\aleph}}^A$ s.t. $\langle \bar{a}, a \rangle \in F$ and $\langle \beta, a \rangle \in a$.

(g) There are $\bar{\aleph}, \bar{f}, f$ s.t.

(i) $\bar{f}: \kappa \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} S_{\bar{\aleph}}^A$, $f: \lambda \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} S_{\bar{\aleph}}^A$

(ii) $\bar{a} = \{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \mid \bar{f}(\alpha) \in \bar{f}(\beta) \}$ and

$a = \{ \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \mid f(\alpha) \in f(\beta) \}$, then $\langle \bar{a}, a \rangle \in F$

(iii) $\bar{a} = \{ \alpha \mid \bar{f}(\alpha) \in A \}$, $a = \{ \alpha \mid f(\alpha) \in A \}$

then $\langle \bar{a}, a \rangle \in F$.

(a) - (e) guarantee that F is an extender at some κ, λ on $\#(\kappa) \cap J_{\bar{d}}^A$, where κ is the largest cardinal in $J_{\bar{d}}^A$.

(f) guarantees that if $\pi: J_{\bar{d}}^A \xrightarrow{F} M$ weakly, then $\lambda = \pi(\kappa)$.

(g) then guarantees that $M = J_{\bar{d}}^A$.

It is clear that (a) - (g) hold for all coherent N .

QED (Lemma 7)

Let $N = \langle J_d^A, F \rangle$ be coherent +
let $\bar{\alpha}$ be least int. $\text{dom}(F) =$
 $= \mathcal{K}(\kappa) \cap J_{\bar{\alpha}}^A$. Clearly we have:

F is weakly amenable iff

iff $\bar{\alpha} = \kappa + N$, since

$\bar{\alpha} = \kappa + N$ iff $\mathcal{K}(\kappa) \cap N \subset J_{\bar{\alpha}}^A$.

Thus if $\bar{\alpha}, \beta, \bar{F}$ are as in
Lemma 6 (d), then \bar{F} is not
weakly amenable.

As an example of the use of Σ_1 -amenability we prove the following lemma. The argumentation used will be of great importance later in connection with the so called $*$ -ultra products.

Lemma 8 Let F be Σ_1 -amenable w.r.t. \bar{M} . Let $\pi: \bar{M} \rightarrow_F M$.

Let $\kappa = \text{crit}(F)$. Then

$$\#(\kappa) \cap \underline{\Sigma}_1(M) \subset \underline{\Sigma}_1(\bar{M}),$$

proof.

Let A be $\underline{\Sigma}_1(M)$, $A \subset \kappa$. Then

$$A \not\subseteq \leftrightarrow \forall z \exists R(z, \exists, \varphi), \text{ where}$$

$$R \text{ is } \Sigma_0(M), \varphi = \pi(f)(\alpha),$$

$$f \in \bar{M}, f: \kappa \rightarrow \bar{M} \text{ and } d < \text{length}(F).$$

Hence:

$$A \not\subseteq \leftrightarrow \forall u \in \bar{M} \quad \forall z \in \pi(u) \exists R(z, \exists, \pi(f)(\alpha),$$

$$\leftrightarrow \quad \underbrace{\quad \quad \quad}_{\Sigma_0(M)} P(\pi(u), \exists, \pi(f)(\alpha))$$

$$\Sigma_0(M).$$

Let \bar{P} have the same Σ_0 def. over \bar{M} . Then:

$$A_{\bar{z}} \leftrightarrow \underbrace{\forall u \in \bar{M} \exists d \in F (\exists \bar{z} | \bar{P}(u, \bar{z}, f(\bar{z})) \}}_{\Sigma_1(\bar{M}) \text{ in } d, f, \bar{z}, \text{ where } \bar{F}_d \text{ is } \Sigma_1(\bar{M}) \text{ in } \bar{z},}$$

\bar{F}_d is $\Sigma_1(\bar{M})$ in \bar{z} . QED (Lemma 8).

The same proof shows:

Lemma 9 Let F, \bar{M}, M be as above. Let $R(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q)$ be $\Sigma_1(M)$. Let $f_1, \dots, f_q \in \bar{M}$ s.t. $f_i: \kappa \rightarrow \bar{M}$, where $\kappa = \text{crit}(F)$. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q < \nu = \text{length}(F)$. Then $\tilde{R} = \{ \vec{x} \mid R(\pi(x_1), \dots, \pi(x_p), \pi(f_1 \upharpoonright \alpha_1), \dots, \pi(f_q \upharpoonright \alpha_q)) \}$ is $\Sigma_1(\bar{M})$ in $f_1, \dots, f_q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$ and \bar{z} , where $F_{\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q \rangle}$ is $\Sigma_1(\bar{M})$ in \bar{z} (uniformly in the Σ_1 def of R and the Σ_1 def. of $F_{\vec{\alpha}}$ from \bar{z}).