

§3.3.4 Weak Covering

We now prove Mitchell's covering lemma, announced at the outset of §3.3. We assume $\aleph_1 < \aleph_2$. Let W be an \aleph_0 -full weak and let $\beta > \aleph_0^{\aleph_1}$ be a singular cardinal. We claim: $\beta^+ = \beta^{+W}$.

Suppose not. Let $\tau =$ the least regular $\tau > \aleph_0^{\aleph_1}$ s.t. $\text{cf}(\beta^{+W}) < \tau$. Generically collapse β^{+W} to τ , adding $f: \tau \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} W/\beta^+$ (where $\beta^+ =_{\text{pf}} \beta^{+W}$ from now on).

For $\alpha \leq \tau$ set: $X_\alpha = f''\alpha$. Set:

$$C = \{ \alpha < \tau \mid \alpha = \tau \cap X_\alpha \wedge X_\alpha \triangleleft W/\beta^+ \text{ cofinally} \}$$

Then C is club in τ . For $\alpha \in C \cup \{\tau\}$

$$\text{set: } \sigma_\alpha: \bar{W}_\alpha \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle X_\alpha, E \cap X_\alpha, E_{\beta^+} \cap X_\alpha \rangle$$

(where $W = \bigcup_{\alpha}^E$), \bar{W}_α being transitive

$$\text{Set } \sigma_{\alpha\alpha'} = \sigma_{\alpha'}^{-1} \sigma_\alpha \quad (\alpha \leq \alpha').$$

Then $\bar{W}_Z = W|\beta^+$ and

$$\langle \sigma_\alpha \rangle, \bar{W}_Z = \lim_{\alpha \leq \alpha' < \bar{c}} (\sigma_{\alpha\alpha'}, \bar{W}_{\alpha'})$$

where all maps are elementary hence Σ^* -preserving. Hence each \bar{W}_α is a mouse and $\bar{W}_\alpha \models ZF^-$.

Lemma 1 There is a club $C' \subset C$ s.t. $\#(\alpha) \cap W \neq \bar{W}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in C'$ s.t. $cf(\alpha) = \alpha_0$.
proof

Suppose not.

Let $S \subset C$ be stationary s.t. $\#(\alpha) \cap W \subset \bar{W}_\alpha \neq cf(\alpha) = \alpha_0$ for $\alpha \in S$.

(1) $\#(\alpha) \cap W = \#(\alpha) \cap \bar{W}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in S$.
pf.

Cointerate \bar{W}_α, W to \bar{W}', W' . The coiteration terminates below ∞ & \bar{W}' is a simple iterate of \bar{W}_α by the universality of W . Moreover the coiteration is above α . Hence $\#(\alpha) \cap \bar{W}_\alpha = \#(\alpha) \cap \bar{W}' \subset \#(\alpha) \cap W' \subset W$.
QED(1)

But then, for $\alpha \in S$:

$$(2) (H_{\alpha^+})^W = (H_{\alpha^+})^{\bar{W}}$$

Fix $\alpha \in S$ and define an ultrafilter $U = U_\alpha$ on $\#(\alpha) \cap W$ by:

$$U_\alpha = \{X \mid \alpha \in \sigma_\alpha(X)\}. \text{ Trivially:}$$

(3) U_α is normal wrt. functions

$$f \in (H_{\alpha^+})^W.$$

Moreover:

(4) $\langle W \mid \alpha^+, U_\alpha \rangle$ is amenable

(where $\alpha^+ = \text{prf } \alpha^+ W$).

proof.

Let $X = \langle X_\nu \mid \nu < \alpha \rangle \in W$, where $X_\nu \in \alpha$ for $\nu < \alpha$. Then

$$\{\nu \mid X_\nu \in U_\alpha\} = \{\nu \mid \alpha \in \sigma_\alpha(X)_\nu\} \in W.$$

QED (4)

Claim U_α is ω -complete for stationarily many $\alpha \in S$.

prf. of Claim.

Case 1 $cf(\beta^+) > \omega$.

It holds for all $\alpha \in S$: Let $X_i \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ ($i < \omega$). Then $X_i \in \overline{W_\alpha} \upharpoonright \delta$ for a $\delta < \beta^+$ and we can form the diagonal intersection of $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap (\overline{W} \upharpoonright \delta)$ to get $Y \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ almost contained in each X_i . Hence $Y \cap \bigcap_i X_i \neq \emptyset$, since $cf(\alpha) > \omega$. \square ED (Case 1)

Case 2 $cf(\beta^+) = \omega$.

Then $\bar{c} = (\alpha_0^\omega)^+$. Assume w.l.o.g. that there are $X_i^\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ ($i < \omega$) for $\alpha \in S$ s.t. $\bigcap_i X_i^\alpha = \emptyset$. For each limit pt. $\alpha \in S$ of \bar{c} , $cf(\alpha) > \omega$ + hence there is $\alpha^* < \alpha$ s.t. $X_i^\alpha \in \text{rng}(\sigma_{\alpha^*, \alpha})$ for $i < \omega$. But then $\alpha^* = \delta$ is constant on a stationary set.

- 5 -

Since $\overline{(\overline{W}_\gamma)}^\omega \leq \alpha^\omega < \bar{c}$, there is a fixed $\langle X_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ set, $\sigma_{\delta_\alpha}(X_i) = X_i^\delta$ on a stationary set of α . Hence there are $\alpha < \alpha'$ s.t. $\sigma_{\alpha, \alpha'}(X_i^\delta) = X_i^{\delta'}$ ($i < \omega$) - i.e. $\alpha \in \bigcap_i X_i^{\delta'}$. Contr!

QED (Claim).

Now pick $\alpha \in S$ s.t. U_α is ω -complete. Set $\tilde{W} = \langle W \upharpoonright \alpha^+, U_\alpha \rangle$. Then \tilde{W} is iterable, since $W \upharpoonright \alpha^+$ is iterable and U_α is ω -complete. (This is like the proof that a bicopular is iterable). If $E_{\alpha^+}^W \neq \emptyset$, then \tilde{W} is an α -mouse and δ^\sharp exists. Contradiction!

Hence $E_{\alpha^+}^W = \emptyset$ and we identify \tilde{W} with the mouse $\langle J_{\alpha^+}^{E^W}, U_\alpha \rangle$. But then $U_\alpha = E_{\alpha^+}^W \neq \emptyset$ by δ_0 -fullness. Contr! QED (Lemma 1)

Let $S \subset C$ be stationary set.

$$\#(\alpha) \cap W \not\subseteq \bar{W}_\alpha \quad \text{for } \alpha \in S,$$

Then for $\alpha \in S$ there is a mouse

$$M = M_\alpha = W \upharpoonright \delta \text{ s.t. (letting } \bar{W} = \bar{W}_\alpha)$$

$$(a) \ J_\alpha^{E^{\bar{W}}} = J_\alpha^{E^M}$$

(b) M is sound

$$(c) \ f_M^W \leq \alpha \text{ and } f_M^W \leq \delta \text{ if } \tau = \delta^{+W}$$

$$(d) \ \#(\alpha) \cap \Sigma^*(M) \not\subseteq W.$$

Set $\hat{\alpha} = \delta$ if $\tau = \delta^{+W}$ and $\hat{\alpha} = \alpha$ if τ is a limit cardinal in W . Then

$$(e) \ \bar{W} \upharpoonright \hat{\alpha} = M \upharpoonright \hat{\alpha},$$

since $E_\alpha^{\bar{W}} = E_\alpha^M = \emptyset$ if τ_α is a limit cardinal in W . , hence δ ,

Cositerate M, \bar{W} to M', \bar{W}' . The cositeration is above $\hat{\alpha}$.

Lemma 2 There is a stationary set of $\alpha \in S$ s.t. $\bar{W}' = \bar{W}$.

proof Suppose not.

Assume w.l.o.g. $\bar{W}' \neq \bar{W}$ for $\alpha \in S$.

Let M_i, \bar{W}_i ($i \leq \theta$) be the cositeration of M, \bar{W} with indices ν_i, κ_i . There

is a least i s.t. $\bar{W}_{i+1} \neq \bar{W}$.

Then $E_{\kappa_i}^{\bar{W}} \neq \emptyset$ and $E_{\kappa_i}^{\bar{W}'} = \emptyset$ for $i < j$.

(1) $\rho_{M_i}^\omega \leq \kappa_i$ and M_i is "round above κ_i "

(i.e. $M_i =$ the closure of $\kappa_i \cup \{p_{M_i}\}$

under good $\Sigma_1^{(n)}$ functions where $\omega p_{M_i}^{n+1} \leq \kappa_i < \omega p_{M_i}^n$)

pf.

$\rho_M^\omega \leq \bar{\alpha} \leq \kappa_0$ and M is round. If

M_i is a simple iterate of M , the conclusion is immediate. Otherwise

M_i is a simple iterate of $M_j | \delta$

for some $j < i$ s.t. $\rho_{M_j | \delta}^\omega \leq \kappa_j < \kappa_i$

and $M_j | \delta$ is round. QED (1)

Set $\bar{M} = M_i | \delta$, where δ is maximal s.t. $\omega \delta \leq \text{On} \cap M_i$ and $\kappa_i^+ = \nu_i$ in $M_i | \delta$. Then:

(2) $\rho_{\bar{M}}^\omega \leq \kappa_i$ & \bar{M} is round above κ_i

Recall that if $E_{\kappa_i}^{M_i} \neq \emptyset$, then

$\pi_{M_i, M_{i+1}}^{M_i, M_{i+1}} : \bar{M} \xrightarrow{E_{\kappa_i}} M_{i+1}$. Clearly:

(3) $E_{\kappa_i}^{\bar{M}} \neq E_{\kappa_i}^{\bar{W}}$.

Set: $\bar{Q} = J_{\nu_i}^{E^{\bar{W}}} = J_{\nu_i}^{\bar{M}}$; $\tilde{Q} = J_{\tilde{\nu}}^{E^{\tilde{W}}}$,

where $\tilde{\nu} = \text{lub } \sigma_\alpha \nu_i$, $\tilde{\kappa} = \sigma_\alpha(\kappa)$.

By the frequent extension lemma there is a stationary set of

$\alpha \in S$ s.t. $\sigma_\alpha \upharpoonright \bar{Q} : \bar{Q} \rightarrow \tilde{Q}$ has a canonical extension $\tilde{\sigma} : \bar{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ s.t. \bar{M} and \tilde{M} is iterable

above $\tilde{\nu}$. Moreover by §3.3.3 Corollary 3:

(4) \tilde{M} is coiterable with W

on a stationary set. We assume w.l.o.g. that this holds for all $\alpha \in S$.

(5) $\tilde{\sigma} : \bar{M} \rightarrow \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \bar{M}^{\alpha}$ cofinally

where $\omega p_{\bar{M}}^{m+1} < \nu_i \leq \omega p_{\bar{M}}^m$.

(6) $\omega p_{\tilde{M}}^{m+1} \leq \tilde{\kappa}$

pf.

Set $\bar{P} = p_{\bar{M}}$, $\tilde{P} = \tilde{\sigma}(p)$, Define

partial \bar{h} on κ_i by: . . .

$$\bar{h}(\bar{z}) \simeq \begin{cases} h_M((\bar{z})_0, \langle (\bar{z})_1, \bar{p} \rangle) & \text{if } n=0 \\ h_{M^{n, \bar{p}}}((\bar{z})_0, (\bar{z})_1) & \text{if not} \end{cases}$$

Then \bar{h} is $\Sigma_1^{(n)}(\bar{M})$ in \bar{p} . Let \tilde{h} have the same $\Sigma_1^{(n)}(\tilde{M})$ def in $\tilde{p}, \tilde{\kappa}$. Then

$$\tilde{h} \text{ " } \tilde{\kappa} \supset \tilde{\sigma} \text{ " } \bar{h} \text{ " } \kappa_i = \tilde{\sigma} \text{ " } \bar{M}^{n, \bar{p}},$$

by the κ_i -soundness of \bar{M} , Hence $\tilde{V} \cap \tilde{h} \text{ " } \tilde{\kappa}$ is cofinal in $\tilde{V} = \kappa_i + \bar{M}$,

But $\tilde{h} \text{ " } \tilde{\kappa} \not\subseteq_{\Sigma_1} \bar{M}^{n, \bar{p}} +$ hence $\tilde{V} \subset \tilde{h} \text{ " } \tilde{\kappa}$. QED (6)

(7) \tilde{M} is the closure of $\tilde{K} \cup \{\tilde{p}\}$ under good $\Sigma_1^{(n)}(\tilde{M})$ functions.

prf.

\tilde{M} is the closure of $\tilde{V} \cup \{\tilde{p}\}$ under such functions by construction.

It remains to observe that

$\tilde{V} \subset \tilde{h} \text{ " } \tilde{\kappa}$ where \tilde{h} is the good $\Sigma_1^{(n)}$ fun in \tilde{p} constructed above.

QED (7)

By universality, the coiteration of \tilde{M}, W terminates. Coiterate \tilde{M}, W to M', W' . The coiteration is above $\tilde{\kappa}$, since $\tilde{\nu}$ is the earliest possible point of difference. Then M' is a simple iterate of \tilde{M} , and

$$\#(\alpha) \cap \underline{\Sigma}^*(\tilde{M}) = \#(\alpha) \cap \underline{\Sigma}^*(M') \subset$$

$$\subset \#(\alpha) \cap \underline{\Sigma}^*(W') \subset W.$$

But by (7) it is easily seen that there is a $\underline{\Sigma}^*(\tilde{M})$ subset of $\tilde{\kappa}$ which codes \tilde{M} (i.e., $\tilde{M} \in L[a]$). Hence:

$$(8) \tilde{M} \in W$$

By the coiteration of \tilde{M}, W we also know:

(a) \tilde{M} is a mouse.

By (6), (8) and the fact that $\tilde{\kappa}$ is a cardinal in W :

$$(10) \quad \rho_{\tilde{M}}^W = \rho_{\tilde{M}}^{n+1} = \tilde{\kappa},$$

Recall that by §3.3.2 we have:

$$(11) \quad \tilde{\sigma} : \bar{M} \longrightarrow \sum_2^{(n)} \tilde{M} \quad \text{for } h < n.$$

An examination of the proof of §2.3 Lemma 4.2 shows that ~~the~~ the assumptions (5), (11) + (10) are enough to prove:

$$(12) \quad \tilde{P} \setminus \kappa = P_{\tilde{M}}$$

Hence by (7):

$$(13) \quad \tilde{M} \text{ is sound.}$$

We also note:

$$(14) \quad \sigma_0 \upharpoonright \bar{Q} : \bar{W} \upharpoonright \nu_i \xrightarrow{\Sigma_0} W \upharpoonright \tilde{\nu} \text{ cofinally}$$

proof

We know $\sigma_\alpha : \bar{Q} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_0} Q$ cofinally,

where $\bar{W} \upharpoonright \nu_i = \langle \bar{Q}, E_{\nu_i}^{\bar{W}} \rangle$ and

$W \upharpoonright \tilde{\nu} = \langle \bar{Q}, E_{\nu_i}^W \rangle$. Let F be

defined by:

$\sigma_\alpha \upharpoonright \bar{Q} : \bar{W} \upharpoonright \kappa_i \xrightarrow{\sum_c} \langle Q, F \rangle$ cofinally
 line. $F = \bigcup_{x \in \bar{Q}} \sigma_\alpha(x \cap E_{\kappa_i}^{\bar{W}})$).

Then $\langle Q, F \rangle$ is a premouse and
 $F = Q \cap E_{\sigma_\alpha(\kappa_i)}^W$. Hence $F = E_{\kappa_i}^{\bar{W}}$
 by the initial segment condition.
 QED (12)

Now let $\delta =$ the least δ s.t.

$$f_{W \upharpoonright \delta}^\omega \leq \tilde{\kappa}. \text{ Set } N = W \upharpoonright \delta.$$

Then $W \upharpoonright \tilde{\nu} = N \upharpoonright \tilde{\nu}$ and $f_N^\omega = \tilde{\kappa}$, since
 $\tilde{\kappa}$ is a cardinal in W . Since N
 is sound and $\tilde{\nu} = \tilde{\kappa} + N$, there is

$a \in \Sigma^*(N)$, $a \subset \tilde{\kappa}$ coding a well
 ordering of type $\tilde{\nu}$. Hence $a \notin \tilde{M}$.

By exactly the same argument
 there is $\tilde{a} \in \Sigma^*(\tilde{M})$, $\tilde{a} \subset \tilde{\kappa}$ s.t.

$\tilde{a} \notin N$. Since N, \tilde{M} coiterate
 above $\tilde{\kappa}$, it follows easily that

they coiterate to the same
 mouse & hence by soundness:

$$(15) \quad N = \tilde{M}.$$

But then $E_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\omega} = E_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{M}}$ and hence

by (14) : $E_{\kappa_i}^{\bar{\omega}} = E_{\kappa_i}^{\bar{M}}$. Contradiction!

QED (Lemma 2)

Assume w.l.o.g. that $\bar{\omega}' = \bar{\omega}$ at all $\alpha \in S$. Then $\bar{\omega} = \langle J_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{E}}, \bar{E}_{\bar{\gamma}} \rangle = M \cap \bar{\omega}$. Let $\bar{\beta} = \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1}(\beta)$ = the largest cardinal in $\bar{\omega}$. Let κ_i, κ_i' be the indices of the i -th iteration. Clearly $\kappa_i \leq \bar{\beta}$ for all i . Let $M'' = M_{i_0}$ where $i_0 =$ the least i s.t. $M_i = M$ or $\kappa_i = \bar{\beta}$. Exactly as in the proof of Lemma 2:

(1) $\text{wp}_{M''}^{\omega} \leq \bar{\beta}$ and M'' is round above;

Let $\bar{M} = M'' \cap \bar{\omega}$, where $\bar{\omega}$ is least s.t. $\text{p}_{M'' \cap \bar{\omega}}^{\omega} \leq \bar{\beta}$. Note that $\bar{Q} = J_{\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{E}}$ is

an initial segment of M'' , hence of \bar{M} (i.e. $\bar{Q} = J_{\bar{\gamma}}^{E \cap \bar{M}}$). Exactly as in Lemma 2 we get:

(2) $\text{wp}_{\bar{M}}^{\omega} \leq \bar{\beta}$ and \bar{M} is round above $\bar{\beta}$.

Let $\tilde{Q} = \bigcup_{\tilde{\gamma}} E^W$, where $\tilde{\gamma} = \sup \sigma_x \text{ " } \tilde{\delta}$.

By the frequent extension lemma there is a stationary set of $\alpha \in S$ s.t. $\sigma_\alpha : \tilde{Q} \rightarrow \tilde{Q}$ has a canonical extension $\tilde{\sigma} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ s.t. $\tilde{M} \perp \tilde{M}$ is iterable above $\tilde{\delta}$. Moreover, as before we may assume;

(3) \tilde{M} is coiterable with W .

Exactly as before we get;

(4) $\tilde{M} \in W$

(5) \tilde{M} is the closure of $\beta \cup \{\tilde{\rho}\}$ under good $\Sigma_1^{cm}(\tilde{M})$ functions ($\omega_{\tilde{M}}^m \geq \tilde{\delta}$) where $\tilde{\rho} = \tilde{\sigma}(p_{\tilde{M}})$

But then $\tilde{\delta} \leq \beta$ in W .

Contradiction! since $\tilde{\delta} \in \beta + W$.

QED