

§3.3 Mitchell's Covering Lemma

§3.3.1 The Theory of O^1

Def An α -premouse (s.p.m.) is a J -model $M = \langle J_\beta^E, E_\beta, E_{\beta+1} \rangle$ s.t.

(a) $\langle J_\beta^E, E_\beta \rangle$ is a p.m.; $E_\beta \neq \emptyset$.

(b) $E_{\beta+1}$ is a normal measure on κ in M (where $\kappa = \text{crit}(E_\beta)$).

(c) If $\pi : M \rightarrow M'$, then

$$M' \upharpoonright \beta = \langle J_\beta^E, E_\beta \rangle.$$

A simple iteration $\langle M_i : i < \theta \rangle$

of an s.p.m. with indices $\nu_i \leq \beta_i + 1$

($i+1 < \theta$, where $M_i = \langle J_{\beta_i}^E, E_{\beta_i}, E_{\beta_i+1} \rangle$)

is defined in the obvious way.

Note that a proper truncation of an s.p.m. is a p.m. Hence the

notion of an arbitrary iteration with indices $\langle \nu_i, \beta_i \rangle$ has

an obvious definition. The notions of standard and normal iter-

ation are also defined as before,

Def An α -mouse is an α pm which is iterable in the sense that any iteration may be continued.

Call N a generalized mouse (gm) iff N is a mouse or an α -mouse.

For gm's the following are easily verifiable:

(a) §2.1 Lemmas 1.1 - 1.3 go through as before.

(b) §2.1 Lemma 2 (The nonexistence of a degenerate iteration) goes thru since any proper truncation of an α -mouse is a mouse.

(c) §2.1 Lemma 3 (The "Doedel-Jensen Lemma") goes thru as before.

(d) The definition of coiteration $\langle N_i^h \mid i < \theta \rangle$ ($h = 0, 1$) of N^0, N^1 with indices ν_i goes thru as before, using the convention:

$$E_{\beta+1}^N = \emptyset \quad \text{if } N = \langle J_\beta^E, E_\beta \rangle.$$

(e) §2.2 Lemmas 1.1 - 1.4 go thru as before.

Note that no α -mouse can be a proper segment of any β -mouse. Hence the coiteration of two α -mice either truncates on both sides or on neither.

(f) §2.2 Lemmas 2-5 go thru as before.

(g) By §2.3 any α -mouse is HP (hereditarily pure). But then the argument of §2.3 goes thru for α -mice.

In particular, one side of any coiteration must be simple. By the above remark, two α -mice must coiterate simply to a common α -mouse. Now let M be an α -mouse and set:

$$\bar{M} \cong h_M(\emptyset), \bar{M} \text{ transitive.}$$

Then $\omega = \omega_{\bar{M}}^{\rho^1}$; $\emptyset \in R_{\bar{M}}^1$. By §2.1 Lemma 2 it follows that \bar{M} is an α -mouse. But

since any two κ -mice coiterate to a common κ -mouse, it follows easily that \bar{M} is the common core of all κ -mice.

Def $O^\kappa =$ the core of all κ -mice

By our remarks, $\omega \rho_{O^\kappa}^m = \omega$ ($m < \omega$) and $P_{O^\kappa} = \emptyset$.

We let $\neg O^\kappa$ be the statement that O^κ does not exist.

This section is devoted to an important consequence of $\neg O^\kappa$. We prove Mitchell's "weak covering lemma" which says that for certain universal weasels the successors of sufficiently large singular cardinals are absolute. This holds in particular for the canonical ω -complete weasel. In order to state the theorem, we define:

Def Let $\kappa > \omega$ be regular. A
 weasel W is called κ -full
 iff whenever λ is a cardinal
 in W s.t. $\text{cf}(\lambda) = \kappa$ and $\nu = \lambda^{+\omega}$,
 and if F is ω -complete s.t.
 $\langle \bigcup_{\nu} E_{\nu}^W, F \rangle$ is a premouse, then
 $F = E_{\nu}^W$.

The proof which showed the
 canonical ω -complete weasel
 to be universal also shows every
 κ -full weasel to be universal.
 Mitchell's covering lemma needs?

Theorem Assume $\neg 0^{\sharp}$, let W
 be an κ -full weasel. Let
 $\beta > \kappa^{\omega}$ be a singular cardinal.
 Then $\beta^{+} = \beta^{+W}$.