

## §2 $d$ -subproper forcing

$d$ -subproper forcing generalizes the notion of  $d$ -proper forcing, invented by Shelah and lucidly exposited by Avraham in [PF]. In this forcing we deal not with a single embedding  $\sigma: \bar{N} \prec N$  from a countable to an uncountable structure, but rather with an entire tower of embeddings.

Def Let  $N = L^A_{\bar{c}}$  be a ZFC<sup>-</sup> model.

Let  $\Gamma = [\alpha, \beta]$  where  $\alpha \leq \beta < \omega_1$ . By an  $\Gamma$ -pretower for  $N$  we mean a

$$\pi = \langle \pi^i \mid i \in \Gamma \rangle \text{ s.t.}$$

- $\pi^i: N^i \prec N$  where  $N^i$  is countable and full
- $\text{rng}(\pi^i) \subset \text{rng}(\pi^j)$  and  $\omega_1^{N^i} < \omega_1^{N^j}$   
for  $i < j$
- At  $\lambda$  is a limit point of  $\Gamma$ , then  
$$\text{rng}(\pi^\lambda) = \bigcup_{\nu \in \Gamma \cap \lambda} \text{rng}(\pi^\nu).$$

We also set:  $\pi^{i'} = (\pi^j)^{-1} \circ \pi^i$  for  $i \leq j, i, j \in \Gamma$ .

Since  $N^i$  is determined by  $N, \pi^i$ , we also denote it by  $N^{\pi^i}$ . We write  
$$\pi^{i'} = (\pi^j)^{-1} \circ \pi^i.$$

By an  $d$ -pretower we mean a  $[0, d]$ -pretower  $\langle \pi^i \mid i \leq d \rangle$ . We shall generally state our definitions for  $d$ -towers, leaving it to the reader to work out the  $[d, \beta]$ -version.

Def An  $d$ -pretower  $\pi = \langle \pi^i \mid i \leq d \rangle$  for  $N$  is a tower iff  $\pi \in N$  and  $\langle \pi^{h, i+1} \mid h \leq i \rangle \in N^{i+1}$  for  $i < d$ .

Note If  $\pi$  is an  $d$ -tower, then  $\pi^{i+1}(\pi^{h, i+1}) = \pi^h$

for  $h \leq i < d$ , since  $(\pi^{i+1}(\pi^{h, i+1}))(x) =$   
 $= (\pi^{i+1}(\pi^{h, i+1}))(\pi^{i+1}(x)) = \pi^{i+1}(\pi^{h, i+1}(x)) = \pi^h(x)$

Hence, if  $\xi \in N^h$ , we have:

$$\pi^{i+1}(\sup \pi^{h, i+1} \ll \xi) = \sup \pi^h \ll \xi$$

Def Let  $\pi, \sigma$  be  $d$ -pretowers.  $\sigma$  is a revision of  $\pi$  iff

- $N^{\pi^i} = N^{\sigma^i}$  for  $i \leq d$

- $\text{Urng } \pi^d = \text{Urng } \sigma^d$

- $\text{Urng } \pi^{i, i+1} = \text{Urng } \sigma^{i, i+1}$  for  $i < d$ .

Def Let  $\pi$  be an  $d$ -pretower for  $N$ . Let  $x \in N$ .  $\pi$  absorbs  $x$  iff  $(\pi^i)^{-1}(x)$  exists for all  $i \leq d$ .

Note If  $\pi$  absorbs  $x$ , we often write:

$$x^i \text{ for } (\pi^i)^{-1}(x).$$

Def Let  $\sigma$  be a revision of  $\pi$ .  $\sigma$  respects  $\pi$  at  $x$  iff  $\sigma$  absorbs  $x$  and  $(\sigma^i)^{-1}(x) = (\pi^i)^{-1}(x)$  for  $i \leq \alpha$ .

Thus  $x^i$  has the same meaning for  $\sigma, \pi$  if  $\sigma$  respects  $\pi$  at  $x$ .

We also say:  $\sigma$  is an  $x$ -revision of  $\pi$  to mean that  $\sigma$  respects  $\pi$  at  $x$ .

Def Let  $\sigma$  be a revision of  $\pi$ . Let  $x \in N^\alpha$  (where  $\pi$  is an  $\alpha$ -pretower).  $\sigma$  coincides with  $\pi$  at  $x$  iff

- $\sigma^\alpha(x) = \pi^\alpha(x)$
- Whenever  $i < \alpha$  and  $\pi^{i,\alpha}(\bar{x}) = x$ , then  $\sigma^{i,\alpha}(\bar{x}) = x$ .

Def  $\sigma$  coincides with  $\pi$  on  $u \subset N^\alpha$  iff

$\sigma$  coincides with  $\pi$  at each  $x \in u$ .

(In other words,  $\pi^\alpha \upharpoonright u = \sigma^\alpha \upharpoonright u$  and  $(\pi^i)^{-1} \upharpoonright u \subset (\sigma^i)^{-1} \upharpoonright u$  for  $i < \alpha$ .)

Def Let  $B \in N$  be a complete BA in  $N$ .

$\sigma$  is an  $x, B$ -revision of  $\pi$  iff

•  $\sigma$  is an  $x$ -revision of  $\pi$  and absorbs  $B$

•  $\sigma$  respects  $\pi$  at  $B$  and  $\delta = \delta(B)$ ,

Then:  $C_{\delta}^N(\text{rng } \sigma^{\alpha}) = C_{\delta}^N(\text{rng } \pi^{\alpha})$  and

$$C_{\delta^{i+1}}^{N^{i+1}}(\text{rng } \sigma^{i, i+1}) = C_{\delta^{i+1}}^{N^{i+1}}(\text{rng } \pi^{i, i+1})$$

for all  $i < d$ .

(Hence, being an  $x, B$ -revision says little if  $\sigma$  does not respect  $\pi$  at  $B$ .)

Def Let  $\sigma$  be an  $x, B$ -revision of  $\pi$ .

Let  $G$  be  $B$ -generic over  $N$ . We say that

$x$  is an  $x, B, G$ -revision of  $\pi$  iff

•  $G^i =_{\text{H}} (\sigma^i)^{-1} G$  is  $B^i$ -generic over  $N^i$  for  $i \leq d$ , where  $B^i = (\sigma^i)^{-1}(B)$ .

•  $\sigma \in N[G]$

•  $\langle \sigma^{h, i+1} \mid h \leq i \rangle \in N^{i+1}[G^{i+1}]$  for  $i < d$ .

(Thus, being an  $x, B, G$ -revision is also a much stronger statement if  $\sigma$  respects  $\pi$  at  $B$ .)

Def Let  $\sigma$  be an  $\alpha, \mathbb{B}, G$ -revision of  $\pi$ .  
 The canonical completion  $\tilde{\sigma} = \langle \tilde{\sigma}^i \mid i \leq \alpha \rangle$   
 of  $\sigma$  is defined by:

$$\sigma^i: N^i[G^i] \prec N[G], \quad \sigma^i(G^i) = G$$

for  $i \leq \alpha$ .

Then, setting  $N^G = L_{\mathbb{Z}}^{A, G}$  where  $N = L_{\mathbb{Z}}^A$ ,

we have:  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is a tower for  $N^G$ .

Moreover  $(N^G)^{\tilde{\sigma}^i} = L_{\mathbb{Z}_i}^{A^i, G^i}$ , where

$$N^i = L_{\mathbb{Z}_i}^{A^i}.$$

This fact has many consequences.

for  $\sigma$  - e.g.  $\sigma^{i+1}(\sup \sigma^{h, i+1} \ulcorner \bar{z} \urcorner) = \sup \sigma^h \ulcorner \bar{z} \urcorner$

for  $\bar{z} \in N^h$ ,  $h \leq i < \alpha$ .

Def Let  $A, B$  be complete BA's in  $N$   
 with  $A \subseteq B$ . Let  $\sigma$  be an  $\alpha, A, A$ -  
 revision of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma'$  an  $\alpha, B, B$ -  
 revision of  $\pi$ .  $\sigma'$  coheres with  $\sigma$  wrt  $A$

iff

- $\sigma'$  is an  $\langle \alpha, A \rangle, B, B$ -revision of  $\sigma'$
- $A = B \cap A$
- $A^i = B^i \cap A^i$  for  $i \leq \alpha$ , where  $A^i = (\sigma^i)^{-1} \ulcorner A \urcorner$   
 and  $B^i = (\sigma'^i)^{-1} \ulcorner B \urcorner$  (and  $A^i = (\sigma^i)^{-1} \ulcorner A \urcorner$ ).

We are now ready to define the concept of  $d$ -subproperness:

Def Let  $IB$  be a complete BA. Let  $d < \omega_1$ .  $IB$  is  $d$ -subproper as witnessed by the cardinal  $\theta > \omega_1$  iff  $IB \in H_\theta$  and the following holds:

Let  $N = L_{\bar{z}}^A$  be a ZFC-model, where  $H_\theta \subset N$  and  $\theta < \tau$ . Let  $\beta \leq d$  and let  $\pi$  be a  $\beta$ -tower for  $N$  which absorbs  $\theta, IB$ . Let  $u \subset N^\beta$  be finite. Let  $\bar{b} \in IB^\circ \setminus \{0\}$ . Then there is  $b \in IB \setminus \{0\}$  s.t. whenever  $G \ni b$  is  $IB$ -generic, then there is  $\sigma \in V[G]$  s.t.  $\sigma$  is a  $\{\theta, IB\}, IB, G$ -revision of  $\pi$  coinciding with  $\pi$  on  $u$  and s.t.  $\bar{b} \in G^\circ$ .

Def  $IB$  is  $d$ -subproper iff it is  $d$ -subproper as verified by some  $\theta$ .

Def  $IB$  is  $\omega_1$ -subproper iff it is  $d$ -subproper for all  $d < \omega_1$ .

Note Clearly  $IB$  is subproper iff it is  $0$ -subproper.

Def  $\theta$  verifies the  $d$ -subproperness of  $\mathbb{B}$  iff every  $\theta' \geq \theta$  witnesses the  $d$ -subproperness of  $\mathbb{B}$ .

Just as before, we can relativize the notion of  $d$ -subproperness to a fixed parameter  $p$ : We obtain the notion

" $\mathbb{B}$  is  $d$ -subproper as witnessed by  $\langle \theta, p \rangle$ "  
by altering the above definition to require that  $p \in H_\theta$ ,  $\pi$  absorbs  $p$ , and  $\sigma$  is a  $\{p, \theta, \mathbb{B}\}$ ,  $\mathbb{B}, G$ -revision.

It is again easily seen that this apparently weaker notion implies full  $d$ -subproperness - a fact that we shall often employ tacitly.

The two step iteration theorem reads:

Thm 1 Let  $A \subseteq B$  where  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -subproper and  $H_A(\check{B}/G$  is  $\alpha$ -subproper). Then  $B$  is  $\alpha$ -subproper.

proof

Let  $\theta$  be big enough that it verifies the  $\alpha$ -subproperness of  $A$  and

$H_A(\check{\theta}$  verifies the  $\check{\alpha}$ -subproperness of  $\check{B}/G$ )

Let  $N = L_{\check{\tau}}^A$  be a ZFC-model with  $H_{\check{\theta}} \subset N, \theta < \check{\tau}$ ,

Let  $\pi = \langle \pi_i \mid i \leq \alpha \rangle$  be an  $\alpha$ -tower with

$\pi^i : N^i \prec N, \pi^i(\theta^i, A^i, B^i) = \theta, A, B$  for  $i \leq \alpha$ .

Let  $u \subset N^\alpha$  be finite. Let  $\emptyset \in B^0 \setminus \{0\}$ .

Then there is  $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$  s.t. if  $A \ni a$  is  $A$ -generic, then there is  $\sigma \in V[A]$

which is a  $\langle \theta, A, B \rangle, A, A$ -revision of  $\pi$  coinciding with  $\pi$  on  $u$  and s.t.:

$h_{A^0}(\emptyset) \in A$ , Let  $A, \sigma$  be given.

Let  $\tilde{\sigma}$  be the canonical completion of  $\sigma$ , Set  $\tilde{N} = L_{\check{\tau}}^{D, A}$ , where  $N = L_{\check{\tau}}^D$  and  $\tilde{N}^i = L_{\check{\tau}^i}^{D^i, A^i}$

where  $N^i = L_{\check{\tau}^i}^{D^i}$ . Then  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is a tower

for  $\tilde{N}$  with  $\tilde{N}^i = \tilde{N}^{\tilde{\sigma}^i}$ . Moreover,

$\tilde{\sigma}$  absorbs  $\theta, A, B, G$ . Set:  $\check{B} = B/A$ .

$\check{B}$  is  $\alpha$ -subproper in  $V[A]$ . Set:

$\tilde{\sigma} = e/A$ . Then  $\tilde{\sigma} \neq 0$  since  $h_{A^0}(e) \in A^0$ . Hence there is  $\tilde{b} \in \tilde{B} \setminus \{0\}$  which forces that, if  $\tilde{B} \ni \tilde{b}$  is  $\tilde{B}$ -generic, then there is  $\sigma^* \in V[A][\tilde{B}]$  which is a  $\{\theta, A, B, A\}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{B}$ -revision of  $\tilde{\sigma}$  coinciding on  $u$  and s.t.  $e \in \tilde{B}^0$ . Let  $\tilde{B}, \sigma^*$  be given and work in  $V[A][\tilde{B}]$ . Then  $B = A * \tilde{B} =_{pf} =_{\#} \{b \in B \mid b/A \in \tilde{B}\}$  is  $B$ -generic over  $V$  and  $V[A][\tilde{B}] = V[B]$ . Define  $\sigma' = \langle \sigma'^i \mid i \leq \alpha \rangle$ , where  $\sigma'^i = \sigma^{*i} \upharpoonright N^i$ .

Claim  $\sigma'$  is a  $\{\theta, A, B\}, B, B$ -revision of  $\pi$  which coincides with  $\pi$  on  $u$  and s.t.  $e \in B^0$ .

proof. Clearly:

(a)  $e \in B^0$  since  $e/A^0 \in \tilde{B}^0$ .

(b)  $\sigma'^h; N^h \prec N, h \leq i \leq \alpha \rightarrow \text{rng}(\sigma'^h) \subset \text{rng}(\sigma'^i)$ ,  
 $\text{rng}(\sigma'^\lambda) = \bigcup_{i < \lambda} \text{rng}(\sigma'^i)$  for limit  $\lambda \leq \alpha$

(c)  $B^h = \sigma^{*h} \upharpoonright B$  is  $B^h$ -generic over  $N^h$ , since  $B^h = A^h * \tilde{B}^h$ .

(d)  $\sigma' \in N[B], \langle \sigma'^{h, i+1} \mid h \leq i \rangle \in N^{i+1}[B^{i+1}]$

(e)  $\sigma'$  coincides with  $\sigma$  (hence with  $\pi$ ) on  $u$ ,

(a) - (e) are immediate. It remains only to show:

$$(f) C_{\delta}^N(\text{rng } \sigma'^d) = C_{\delta}^N(\text{rng } \pi^d) \quad (\delta = \delta(B)).$$

$$(g) C_{\delta^{i+1}}^{N^{i+1}}(\text{rng } \sigma'^{i,i+1}) = C_{\delta^{i+1}}^{N^{i+1}}(\text{rng } \pi^{i,i+1})$$

where  $\delta^l = \delta(B^l)$

We prove (f), the proof of (g) being virtually identical.

Since  $\delta(B) \geq \delta(\tilde{B})$ , we have:

$$(1) C_{\delta}^{\tilde{N}}(\text{rng } \tilde{\sigma}^d) = C_{\delta}^{\tilde{N}}(\text{rng } \sigma^{*d})$$

But:

$$(2) N \cap C_{\delta}^{\tilde{N}}(\text{rng } \sigma^{*d}) = C_{\delta}^N(\text{rng } \sigma'^d)$$

prf. (2) is trivial. We show (c).

Let  $x \in N \cap C_{\delta}^{\tilde{N}}(\text{rng } \sigma^{*d})$ . Then  $x = \sigma^{*}(f)(\bar{z})$  for a  $\bar{z} < \delta$ , where  $f \in \tilde{N}^d$  maps  $\delta_d = \delta(B^d)$  into  $N^d$ . Let  $f = f^{\circ} A^d$ ,  $f^{\circ} \in (\tilde{N}^d)^{A^d}$ .

Then there is  $a \in A$  s.t.

alt  $\bar{x} = \sigma'(f^{\circ})(\bar{z})$ . But since  $\delta \geq \delta(A)$ ,

there is a dense set  $\Delta$  in  $A$  s.t.

$\bar{\Delta} \leq \delta$ . Hence there is such a  $\Delta \in C = C_{\delta}^N(\text{rng } \sigma'^d)$  s.t.  $\Delta \subset C$ .

We may assume  $a \in \Delta$ . Hence  $x$  is  $C$ -definable in  $a, \sigma'(f^{\circ}), \bar{z}$ .

Hence  $x \in C$ .

Since  $\sigma^d = \tilde{\sigma}^d \upharpoonright N$ , the same proof shows:

$$(3) N \cap C_{\sigma}^N(\text{rng } \tilde{\sigma}^d) = C_{\sigma}^N(\text{rng } \sigma^d).$$

$$\text{Hence } C_{\sigma}^N(\text{rng } \sigma^d) = C_{\sigma}^N(\text{rng } \sigma^d) = C_{\sigma}^N(\text{rng } \pi^d).$$

QED (Thm 1)

The proof of Thm 1 contains much more information than we have stated. We can drop the assumption that  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -subproper, merely assuming:

$\mathbb{A}$  verifies the  $\check{\alpha}$ -subproperness of  $(\check{B}/\check{A})$ ,  $\check{A}$  being the canonical  $\mathbb{A}$ -generic name.

We assume that  $a \in \mathbb{A} \setminus \{0\}$  forces the existence of a  $\theta, \mathbb{A}, \check{A}$ -revision of  $\pi$  (but not necessarily a  $\langle \theta, \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} \rangle, \mathbb{A}, \check{A}$  revision). But

then there is  $\sigma \in V^{\mathbb{A}}$  s.t.  $a$  forces  $\sigma^{\check{A}}$  to be a  $\theta, \mathbb{A}, \check{A}$ -revision of  $\pi$ , when  $\mathbb{A} \ni a$  is  $\mathbb{A}$ -generic. We can replace our fixed

$u \in N^d$  by  $\check{u}^{\mathbb{A}}$ , where  $a \Vdash_{\mathbb{A}} \check{u} \in N^d$  is finite. Similarly we can replace  $e \in B^0 \setminus \{0\}$  by  $\check{e}^{\mathbb{A}}$ , where  $a \Vdash_{\mathbb{A}} \check{e} \in (\sigma^0)^{-1}(\check{B})$  and

$a \Vdash_{\mathbb{A}} h_{\mathbb{A}}^{\check{A}}(\check{e}) \in \check{A}^0$  ( $\check{A}^0$  being an abbreviation for  $(\sigma^0)^{-1} \check{A}$ .) We then

let  $\tilde{b}$  force the existence of  $\sigma^{\check{A}}$  which is a  $\langle \theta, \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} \rangle, \check{\mathbb{B}}, \check{\mathbb{B}}$ -revision of  $\tilde{\sigma}$ , coinciding

with  $\tilde{\sigma}$  on  $u = i^A$  and  $\text{r.t.}$ ,

$\tilde{e} = e/A \in \tilde{B}^0$ , where  $e = e^A$ , since for every  $A \ni a$  there is such a  $\tilde{b}$ , we may assume  $\tilde{b} = b^A$ , where  $a$  forces  $b$  to have these properties,

We may also assume w.l.o.g. that  $\Vdash_A \tilde{b} \in \tilde{B}/A$  and  $\Vdash_A [\tilde{b} \neq 0] = a$ . But then

there is  $b \in B$   $\text{r.t.}$   $\Vdash_A \tilde{b}/A = b$ . Hence

$$h_A(b) = \Vdash_A [\tilde{b}/A \neq 0] = a, \text{ letting}$$

$\sigma' \upharpoonright i = \text{r.t. } \sigma^* \upharpoonright N^i$  as before, it is forced

by  $b$  that  $\sigma'$  is a  $\langle \emptyset, A, B \rangle, B, B$ -revision of  $\sigma$  for generic  $B \ni b$ .

(We must replace (†) by:

$$C_\sigma^N(\text{rng } \sigma' \upharpoonright \alpha) = C_\sigma^N(\text{rng } \sigma \upharpoonright \alpha),$$

similarly for (g), since  $\sigma'$  will not necessarily be a  $\langle \emptyset, A, B \rangle$  revision of  $\pi$ .)

$\sigma'$  then coincides with  $\sigma$  on  $u = i^A$  and  $\text{r.t.}$   $e = e^A \in B^0$ . Since  $\sigma^*(A^i) = A$

for  $i \leq \alpha$ , it follows easily that  $\sigma'$  coheres with  $\sigma$  w.r.t.  $A$ .

Putting all of this together, we get:

Lemma 2 Let  $A \subseteq B$  be complete BA's. Let  $\mathbb{H}_A$  ( $\check{\theta}$  verifies the  $\check{\alpha}$ -subproperness of  $\check{B}/\check{A}$ ),

where  $\check{A}$  is the canonical  $A$ -generic name,

Assume also:

Let  $N = L_{\check{\tau}}^A$  be a ZFC-model s.t.

$H_{\theta} \subset N$  and  $\theta < \check{\tau}$ . Let  $\pi$  be a tower for  $W$

with  $\pi^i: N^i \prec N$ ,  $\pi^i(\theta^i) = \theta$ . Let  $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$

force that, whenever  $A \ni a$  is  $A$ -generic,

then  $\sigma^A$  is an  $\langle \kappa, \theta \rangle, A, A$ -revision of  $\pi$

$u^A \subset N^d$  is finite  $e^A \in B^0 = (\sigma^0)^{-1}(B)$

and  $h_A(e^A) \in A$ .

Then there is  $b \in B \setminus \{0\}$  s.t.  $a = h_A(b)$

and whenever  $B \ni b$  is  $B$ -generic,

$A = B \upharpoonright A$ ,  $\sigma = \sigma^A$ ,  $u = u^A$ ,  $e = e^A$ ,

then there is  $\sigma' \in V[B]$  which is

an  $\langle \kappa, \theta, A, B \rangle, B, B$ -revision of  $\sigma$

cohering with  $\sigma$  wrt.  $A$  and

coinciding with  $\sigma$  on  $u$ . Moreover,

$e \in B^0$ .

Note To show that  $B$  does not collapse

w<sub>1</sub>, we must assume that  $A$  does not

do so. To show that  $B$  is  $d$ -sub-

proper we must assume that  $A$  has

the property.

Thm 3 Let  $B = \langle B_\nu \mid \nu < \delta \rangle$  be an RCS -

iteration. Let  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . Assume that for all  $i+1 < \alpha$ :

(a)  $B_i \neq B_{i+1}$

(b)  $\| \checkmark_i (B_{i+1} / G) \|$  is  $\alpha$ -subproper

(c)  $\| \checkmark_{i+1} (\delta(B_i)) \|$  has cardinality  $\leq \omega_1$ .

Then every  $B_i$  is  $\alpha$ -subproper

proof

Set  $\delta_i = \delta(B_i)$ . As before we get:

(1)  $\delta_i \leq \delta_j$  for  $i \leq j < \delta$

(2)  $\bar{\nu} \leq \delta_\nu$  for  $\nu < \delta$

By induction on  $i < \delta$  we prove:

Claim Let  $h \leq i$ , let  $G_h$  be  $B_h$ -generic. Then

$B_i / G_h$  is  $\alpha$ -subproper in  $V[G_h]$ .

The cases  $i=0$ ,  $i=h$ ,  $i=j+1$  follow exactly as before in §1 Thm 3, using the two step theorem.

There remains the case that  $i = \lambda$  is a limit ordinal. By our induction hypothesis

$B_j / G_h$  is  $\alpha$ -subproper in  $V[G_h]$  for

$h \leq j < \lambda$ . We consider two cases, as before!

Case 1  $\text{cf}(\lambda) \leq \delta_i$  for an  $i < \lambda$ .

It again suffices to prove the claim for  $h \geq i$ , since it will then hold for

smaller ordinals by the two step thm.  
But then  $cf(\lambda) \leq \omega_1$  in  $V[G_n]$ . We display  
the proof in the special case:

$$cf(\lambda) \leq \omega_1 \text{ in } V,$$

showing that  $\mathbb{B}_\lambda$  is  $\alpha$ -subproper in  
 $V$ , since we can then repeat the  
proof in  $V[G_n]$  to show that  $\mathbb{B}_\lambda/G_n$  is  
 $\alpha$ -subproper. (The induction hypothesis  
holds in  $V[G_n]$  just as before.)

Now let  $N = L^A_\Sigma$  be a ZFC-model s.t.  
 $H_\theta \subset N$ ,  $\theta < \Sigma$ . We shall prove:

Main Claim Let  $\beta \leq \alpha$ . Let  $\pi$  be a  $\beta$ -  
tower for  $N$  which absorbs  $\langle \theta, \mathbb{B}, \lambda \rangle$ .  
Let  $u \subset N^\beta$  be finite. Let  $e \in \mathbb{B}^\circ \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  
there is  $b \in \mathbb{B}_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$  which forces that if  
 $G \ni b$  is  $\mathbb{B}_\lambda$ -generic, then in  $V[G]$   
there is a  $\langle \theta, \mathbb{B}, \lambda \rangle$ ,  $\mathbb{B}_\lambda/G$ -revision of  
 $\pi$  which coincides with  $\pi$  on  
 $u$  and s.t.  $e \in G^\circ$ .

The proof will be by induction on  $\beta$ ,  
but we shall need a stronger  
induction hypothesis. We split  
into two subcases.

Case 1.1  $cf(\lambda) = \omega$

Let  $f = \langle \bar{\zeta}_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  be the  $N$ -least  $\omega$ -sequence which is monotone and cofinal in  $\lambda$  and s.t.  $\bar{\zeta}_0 = 0$ . Set

$$f^h = \langle \bar{\zeta}_i^h \mid i < \omega \rangle = (\pi^h)^{-1}(f) \text{ for } h \leq \beta.$$

Clearly, if  $\sigma$  is any  $\langle \theta, B, \lambda \rangle$ -revision of  $\pi$ , then  $\sigma^h(f^h) = f$  and  $\sigma^h(\bar{\zeta}_i^h) = \bar{\zeta}_i$  for  $i < \omega$ .

We now refer back to the definitions of  $B_{<\lambda}$ ,  $B_{<\lambda}^+$  that we gave at the end of §1. Recall that  $G \subset B_{<\lambda}$  is called  $B_{<\lambda}$ -generic iff  $G \cap B_\nu$  is  $B_\nu$ -generic for all  $\nu < \lambda$ .  $G$  is then called  $B_{<\lambda}^+$ -generic iff the set  $G^+$  of  $b \in B_{<\lambda}^+$  s.t.  $\forall \nu (b \in G \text{ for } \nu < \lambda \text{ meets every dense } \nu\text{-subset of } B_{<\lambda}^+)$ . Setting  $G^{++} = \{ b \in B_\lambda \mid \forall a \in B_\lambda^+ \ a \subset b \}$ , this is equivalent to saying that  $G^{++}$  is  $B_\lambda$ -generic.

We now define:

Def Let  $G \in \mathbb{B}_{<\lambda}$  where  $\bar{3} \leq \lambda$  is a limit ordinal  
 $\langle \theta, \mathbb{B}, \lambda \rangle, \mathbb{B}_{<\lambda}, G$  - revision of  $\pi$  iff

- $\sigma$  is a  $\langle \theta, \mathbb{B}, \lambda \rangle, \mathbb{B}_{\bar{3}}$  - revision of  $\pi$
- $G^i$  is  $(\mathbb{B}_{<\bar{3}}^i)^+$  - generic over  $N^0$  for  $i \in \beta$ ,  
 where  $G^i =_{\text{nt}} (\sigma^i)^{-1} \circ G$
- $\sigma \in N[G]$  and  $\langle \sigma^{h, i+1} \mid h \leq i \rangle \in N^{i+1}[G^{i+1}]$   
 for  $i < \beta$ .

Note It follows that, if  $\sigma$  respects  $\pi$  at  $\mathbb{B}_{\bar{3}}$ , then

$$C_{\sigma}^N(\text{rng } \sigma^{\alpha}) = C_{\sigma}^N(\text{rng } \pi^{\alpha}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$C_{\sigma^i}^{N^{i+1}}(\text{rng } \sigma^{i, i+1}) = C_{\sigma^i}^{N^{i+1}}(\text{rng } \pi^{i, i+1}),$$

where  $\delta = \delta(\mathbb{B}_{\bar{3}})$  and  $\delta^i = \delta(\mathbb{B}_{\bar{3}}^i)$ .

Note that this definition makes no assumption about the genericity of  $G$ .

Clearly it suffices to show:

At  $\beta \leq \alpha$  and  $\pi, u, e$  are as in the Main Claim,

then there is  $b \in (\mathbb{B}_{<\lambda})^+$  s.t. whenever

$G$  is  $\mathbb{B}_{<\lambda}$  generic and  $b \in G^+$ , then

there is  $\sigma \in V[G]$  which is a

$\langle \theta, \mathbb{B}, \lambda \rangle, \mathbb{B}_{<\lambda}, G$  - revision of  $\pi$  which

coincides with  $\pi$  on  $u$  and is s.t.

$$e \in (G^0)^+$$

Def Let  $G \subset \mathbb{B}_{<\lambda}$  be  $\mathbb{B}_{<\lambda}$ -generic. Set:

$G_i = G \cap \mathbb{B}_{\aleph_i}$ . By a good matrix for  $G$

wrt.  $\pi$  we mean a sequence  $\langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  s.t.

(a)  $\sigma_i = \langle \sigma_i^h \mid h < \beta \rangle$  is a  $\langle \langle \theta, \mathbb{B}, \lambda \rangle, \mathbb{B}_{\aleph_i}, G \rangle$ -  
- revision of  $\pi$  which coheres with  $\sigma_l$   
wrt.  $\mathbb{B}_{\aleph_l}$  for  $l \leq i$

(b)  $G^i = \bigcup_{l < \omega} G_l^i$  is  $\mathbb{B}_{<\lambda}^+$ -generic over  $N^i$  for  $i \leq \beta$ .

(c)  $\forall x \in N^i$ , there is  $j < \omega$  s.t.  $\sigma_l^i(x) = \sigma_j^i(x)$   
for  $l \geq j$ .

(d)  $\forall x \in N^\lambda$ ,  $x$  being a limit ordinal, then  
there are  $h < \lambda$ ,  $i < \omega$ ,  $\bar{x} \in N^h$  s.t.  $x = \sigma_l^{h\lambda}(\bar{x})$  for  
all  $l \geq i$

(e)  $\forall x \in N^h$ , there are  $i < \omega$ ,  $w \in N^h$  s.t.

$\bar{w} \leq \delta^h = \sup_i \sigma(\mathbb{B}_{\aleph_i}^h)$  in  $N^h$  and:

- $\pi^{h, h+1}(x) \in \sigma_l^{h, h+1}(w) = \sigma_l^{h, h+1}(w)$  for  $l \geq i$   
if  $h < \beta$

- $\pi^\beta(x) \in \sigma_l^\beta(w) = \sigma_l^\beta(w)$  for  $l \geq i$  if  $h = \beta$

(f)  $\langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in N[G]$  and

$\langle \sigma_i^{h, i+1} \mid h \leq i, i < \omega \rangle \in N^{i+1}[G^{i+1}]$  for  $i < \beta$ .

Note By (c), if  $i \leq h \leq \beta$ , there is  $j < \omega$

s.t.  $\sigma_l^{ch} = \sigma_j^{ch}$  for all  $l \geq j$ .

We can then define:  $\sigma = \sigma_\omega$  by:

$$\sigma^h(x) = \sigma_j^h(x) \text{ if } \sigma_l^h(x) = \sigma_j^h(x) \text{ for all } l \geq j.$$

Clearly  $\sigma^h: N^h \rightarrow N$ . If we set  $\sigma^{hi} = (\sigma^i)^{-1} \circ \sigma^h$

for  $h \leq i \leq \beta$ , we get:

$$\sigma^{hi}(x) = \sigma_c^{hi}(x) \text{ if } \sigma_l^{hi}(x) = \sigma_c^{hi}(x) \text{ for } l \geq i.$$

Sublemma 3.1  $\sigma$  is a  $\langle \theta, \mathbb{B}, \lambda \rangle, \mathbb{B}_{<\lambda}, G$ -  
revision of  $\pi$  which coheres with  
 $\sigma_i$  w.t.  $\mathbb{B}_{\bar{\zeta}_i}$  for  $i < \omega$ .

proof.

(1)  $\sigma$  is a pretower.

We must show:  $\text{rng}(\sigma^\gamma) = \bigcup_{i < \gamma} \text{rng}(\sigma^i)$  for

limit  $\gamma \leq \beta$ . This follows from (d) which

says that each  $x \in N^\gamma$  has the form

$$\sigma^i{}^\gamma(\bar{x}) \text{ for an } x \in N^i, i < \gamma. \text{ QED(1)}$$

(2)  $C_\sigma^N(\text{rng} \sigma^\beta) = C_\sigma^N(\text{rng} \pi^\beta)$ , where

$$\delta = \sup_{i < \lambda} \delta(\mathbb{B}_{\bar{\zeta}_i}) \leq \delta(\mathbb{B}_\lambda).$$

proof.

$$(c) \sigma^\beta(x) = \sigma_f^\beta(x) \in C_\sigma^N(\text{rng} \sigma_f^\beta) = C_\sigma^N(\text{rng} \pi^\beta)$$

(d) Let  $x \in C_\sigma^N(\text{rng} \pi^\beta)$ . Then  $x =$

$$= \pi(f)(\bar{\zeta}) \text{ where } \bar{\zeta} < \delta, f \in N^\beta. \text{ But}$$

$$\pi(f) \in \sigma(w) \text{ for a } w \in N^\beta, \bar{w} \leq \delta.$$

$$\text{Hence } \pi(f) = \sigma(g)(\bar{\zeta}) \text{ where } \bar{\zeta} < \delta. \text{ H}$$

$$\text{Hence } x = (\sigma(g)(\bar{\zeta}))(\bar{\zeta}) \in C_\sigma^N(\text{rng} \sigma),$$

QED(2)

Similarly:

$$(3) C_{\delta^{i+1}}^{N^{i+1}}(\text{rng } \sigma^{i,i+1}) = C_{\delta^{i+1}}^{N^{i+1}}(\text{rng } \pi^{i,i+1})$$

for  $i < \beta$ , where  $\delta^i = \sup_{h < \omega} \delta(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Z}_h^i})$ .

By (f) we trivially have:

$$(4) \sigma \in N[G] \text{ and } \langle \sigma^{i,h+1} \mid i \leq h \rangle \in N^{h+1}[G^{h+1}]$$

for  $h < \beta$

Finally:

$$(5) \sigma \text{ coheres with } \sigma_i \text{ wrt } \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Z}_i} \text{ for } i < \omega,$$

proof.

We must show:  $\sigma^h \llcorner G_i^h \subset G_i$  for  $h \leq \beta, i < \omega$ .

Let  $b \in G_i^h$ . Then  $\sigma^h(b) = \sigma_i^h(b) \in$

$G_i \cap \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Z}_i} = G_i$  for some  $i \geq i$ .

□ E.D. (Sublemma 3.1)

We note that  $\sigma$  also has the properties:

- Let  $u \subset N^\beta$  be finite s.t. each  $\sigma_i$  coincides with  $\pi$  on  $u$ . Then  $\sigma$  coincides with  $\pi$  on  $u$ .

- Let  $b \in (\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Z}_h^i})^+$  s.t.  $h_{\mathbb{Z}_h}(b) \in G_h^i$  for  $h < \omega$ .

Then  $b \in G^{i+}$ .

Thus it suffices to show for  $\beta \leq \alpha$ :

(\*) Let  $\pi$  be a  $\beta$ -tower for  $N$  which absorbs  $\theta, \mathbb{B}, \lambda$ . Let  $u \in N^\beta$  be finite.

Let  $e \in (\mathbb{B}_{<\lambda}^0)^+ \setminus \{0\}$ . Then there is  $b \in \mathbb{B}_{<\lambda}^+$  and a sequence  $\langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in N$  int.  $\sigma_i \in N \mathbb{B}_{\bar{z}_i}$  for  $i < \omega$  and whenever  $G$  is  $\mathbb{B}_{<\lambda}$ -generic,  $b \in G^+$ ,  $G_i = G \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{z}_i}$  ( $i < \omega$ ) and  $\sigma_i = \sigma_i^+ G_i$  for  $i < \omega$ , then  $\langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  is a good matrix which coincides with  $\pi$  on  $u$  and is int.  $e \in (G^0)^+$ , where:

$$G_i^h = (\sigma_i^h)^{-1} \cdot G_i, \quad G^h = \bigcup_i G_i^h.$$

We prove this by induction on  $\beta$ . However, as induction hypothesis we need the even stronger statement:

(\*\*) Let  $i < \omega$  and let  $G_i$  be  $\mathbb{B}_{\bar{z}_i}$ -generic.

Then (\*) holds in  $V[G_i]$  with  $\mathbb{B}/G_i$  in place of  $\mathbb{B}$  and  $N^{G_i} = L_{\bar{z}_i}^A G_i$  in place of  $N$  (where  $N = L_{\bar{\sigma}}^A$ ) and  $\langle \bar{z}_{j'} \mid i' \leq j < \omega \rangle$  in place of  $\langle \bar{z}_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ .

(Recall that  $\mathbb{B}/G_i = \langle \mathbb{B}_\nu / G_i \mid \nu \geq i \rangle$ .)

It will suffice at each stage of the induction to display the proof of (\*), since the same proof can then be repeated in  $V[G_i]$ .

Case 1.1.1  $\beta = 0$ . The construction of  $b$ ,  $\langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  and the verification that  $\langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  is a good matrix for  $G$ , whenever  $G$  is  $IB_{<\lambda}$ -generic,  $b \in G^+$ , and  $\sigma_i = \sigma_i^* \circ G_i$  ( $i < \omega$ ) is given in Case 1 of the proof of §1 Lemma 3. To see that we can have  $\langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in N$ , note that  $S \in N$ , where  $S = \{ \langle b, i, y, x \rangle \mid b \Vdash \sigma_i(\check{x}) = \check{y} \}$ .

To see this note that  $S \subset IB_\lambda \times C$ , where  $C = C_\sigma^N(\text{rng } \pi)$ ,  $\sigma = \sup_i \sigma(IB_{\aleph_i})$ . Let  $\tilde{C} = \sup \pi^\beta \text{ " } 0_{N^\beta}$ ,  $\tilde{N} = L_{\tilde{C}}^A$ , where  $N = L_{\tilde{C}}^A$ . Then  $\tilde{N} \prec N$  and  $\pi^\beta : N^\beta \prec \tilde{N}$  cofinally. But then  $C \subset \tilde{N}$ ; hence  $C = C_\sigma^{\tilde{N}}(\text{rng } \pi \upharpoonright \in N)$  and  $\bar{C} = \delta < \theta$  in  $N$ . Let  $f \in N$  map  $\alpha \prec \theta$  onto  $IB_\lambda \times C$ . Let  $\bar{S} = f^{-1} \text{ " } S$ . Then  $\bar{S} \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha) \subset H_\theta \subset N$ . Hence  $S = f \text{ " } \bar{S} \in N$   
 QED (Case 1.1.1)

Case 1.1.2  $\beta = \nu + 1$

Then (\*) holds at  $\nu$ . We use:

Fact The statement "(\*) holds at  $\nu$ " is uniformly expressible over  $N$  in parameters from  $\text{rng}(\pi^\beta)$ .

proof.

(\*) says that if  $u \in N^\nu$  is finite and  $e \in \mathbb{B}_{<\lambda}^{\circ+} \setminus \{0\}$ , then there are  $b \in \mathbb{B}_{<\lambda}^+ \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in N$  s.t. if  $G$  is  $\mathbb{B}_{<\lambda}$ -generic and  $\sigma_i = \sigma_i^* G_i$  ( $i < \omega$ ),

then  $\langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  is a good matrix with certain properties. (The quantification over the non-existent  $G$  can be replaced by the statement that the above holds in  $N^{\text{coll}(\omega, \overline{\mathbb{B}}_\lambda)}$ .) Hence it suffices to show that, if  $G$  is  $\mathbb{B}_{<\lambda}$ -generic and  $\langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in N[G]$ , then the statement:

" $\langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  is a good matrix for  $G$ "

is uniformly expressible over  $N[G]$  in parameters from  $\text{rng} \pi^\beta$ ;

This at first glance seems dubious, since the statement involves clauses of the form;

$\pi^h : N^h \prec N$  ( $h \leq \nu$ ) and

$$C_\sigma^N(\text{rng } \sigma^\nu) = \text{rng } C_\sigma^N(\text{rng } \pi^\nu).$$

However  $\pi^\beta(\langle \pi^h \beta \mid h \leq \nu \rangle) = \pi \upharpoonright \beta$  and, letting  $\tilde{E} = \text{sup } \pi^\nu \text{ " } 0_{N^\nu}$ , we have:

$$\pi^\beta(\tilde{E}') = \tilde{E}, \text{ where } \tilde{E}' = \text{sup } \pi^{\nu\beta} \text{ " } 0_{N^\nu}.$$

At  $\tilde{N} = L_{\tilde{E}}^A$  (where  $N = L_E^A$ ), then

$$\tilde{N} \in \text{rng } (\pi^\beta), \text{rng } (\pi^\nu) \subset \tilde{N}, \text{ and}$$

$\tilde{N} \prec N$ . Thus we can replace the questionable clauses by:

$$\pi^h : N^h \prec \tilde{N} \text{ (} h \leq \nu \text{) and}$$

$$C_\sigma^{\tilde{N}}(\text{rng } \sigma^\nu) = \text{rng } C_\sigma^{\tilde{N}}(\text{rng } \pi^\nu).$$

QED (Fact)

But since  $\sigma^\beta : N^\beta \prec N$ , the corresponding statement holds over  $N^\beta$ . Thus,

letting  $\bar{u} = (\sigma \upharpoonright \beta)^{-1} \cup u$  (where  $u \in N^\beta$  is finite), there are  $\bar{G} \in (B_{\langle \lambda, \beta \rangle}^B)^+$ ,  $\langle \bar{\sigma}_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in N^\beta$  s.t. if  $\bar{G}$  is  $B_{\langle \lambda, \beta \rangle}^B$ -general,  $\bar{G} \in \bar{G}^+$ , and  $\bar{\sigma}_i = \dot{\sigma}_i \bar{G}_i$

( $i < \omega$ ), then  $\langle \bar{\sigma}_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  is a good matrix

for  $\bar{G}$  wrt.  $\bar{\pi} = \langle \pi^h \beta \mid h \leq \nu \rangle$  which

coincides with  $\pi$  on  $\bar{u}$  and is s.t.

$$e \in (\bar{G}^0)^+.$$

Set  $\bar{b}_i = h_{\bar{\beta}_i}^{\beta}(\bar{b})$ . Note that if  $G_i \ni \bar{b}_i$  is any  $IB_{\bar{\beta}_i}^{\beta}$ -generic set, then  $\dot{\sigma}_i G_i$  is a revision of  $\pi$ . By Case 1.1.1, however, there are  $b \in (IB_{<\omega})^+ \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\langle \sigma'_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in N$  s.t.  $\sigma'_i \in N^{IB_{\bar{\beta}_i}}$  and whenever  $G$  is  $IB_{<\lambda}$ -generic and  $\sigma'_i = \sigma'_i G$  ( $i < \omega$ ), then  $\langle \sigma'_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  is a good matrix for  $G$  w.t. the tower  $\pi \upharpoonright \{\beta\}$  of length  $\omega$  s.t.  $\sigma'_i$  coincides with  $\pi \upharpoonright \{\beta\}$  on  $u$  and  $e_i \in G_i^{\beta} = \sigma'_i G_i$  for  $i < \omega$ , (where  $e_i = h_{\bar{\beta}_i}^{\beta}(e)$ , just as above, letting  $b_i = h_{\bar{\beta}_i}^{\beta}(b)$ , we have:

$$b_i \Vdash_{\bar{\beta}_i} (\sigma'_i \text{ is a revision of } \pi \upharpoonright \check{\{\beta\}}).$$

But then there is obviously a term  $\sigma'_i \in N^{IB_{\bar{\beta}_i}}$  s.t. if  $G_i \ni b_i$  is  $IB_{\bar{\beta}_i}$ -generic, then  $\sigma_i = \sigma'_i G_i$  is the revision of  $\bar{b}$  defined by:  $\sigma_i^{\beta} = \sigma'_i{}^{\beta}$  and  $\sigma_i^h = \sigma_i^{\beta} \circ \bar{\sigma}_i^h$  for  $h < \beta$ . Then  $\langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  has the desired properties. QED (Case 1.1.2)

Case 1.1.3  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal

Fix a sequence  $\langle \beta_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  which is monotone and cofinal in  $\beta$  with  $\beta_0 = 0$  and  $\beta_i$  a successor ordinal for  $i > 0$ . We also write  $\tilde{\beta}_i = (\beta_{i+1} - 1)$ . Set  $\langle x_i^h \mid i < \omega \rangle =$  the  $N$ -least enumeration of  $N^h$  for  $h \leq \beta$ . Then  $\langle x_i^h \mid h \leq i \rangle \in N^{i+1}$  for  $i < \beta$ . In order to simplify our notation we also write  $\hat{B}_i^h = B_{\tilde{\beta}_i}^h$ .

We must produce a good matrix  $\langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ . To do this we essentially define  $\sigma_i$  by induction on  $i$ . To make sure this works, however, we also anticipate the matrix "from below", simultaneously constructing  $\mu(i)$  s.t.  $\mu(i)$  is a good matrix for  $N^{\beta_{i+1}}$  with  $\mu(i)_l^h = \sigma_l^{h, \beta_{i+1}}$  for  $l \leq i$ .

Of course, we are working in  $V$ , and will not directly construct  $\langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  but rather an  $a \in B_{< \lambda}^+$  and  $\langle \sigma_i^+ \mid i < \omega \rangle$  s.t.  $\sigma_i^+ \in N^{B_{\tilde{\beta}_i}}$  and whenever  $G$  is  $B_{< \lambda}$ -generic and  $a \in G^+$ , then, letting  $\sigma_i^+ G_i = \sigma_i$ ,  $\langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  will be the desired good matrix. Thus, we inductively construct  $a_i = h_{\tilde{\beta}_i}(a)$  and  $\sigma_i^+$ .

We construct  $a_i, \sigma_i, u_i$  s.t.

(I) (a)  $a_i \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{\alpha}_i}$

(b)  $\sigma_i, u_i \in N^{\mathbb{B}_{\bar{\alpha}_i}}$

(c)  $a_l = h_{\bar{\alpha}_l}(a_i)$  for  $l \leq i$ .

(II) Let  $G \ni a_i$  be  $\mathbb{B}_{\bar{\alpha}_i}$ -generic. Set:

$$G_l = G \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{\alpha}_l}, \quad \sigma_l = \dot{\sigma}_l^{G_l}, \quad u_l = \dot{u}_l^{G_l} \quad (l \leq i).$$

Then:

(a)  $\sigma_i$  is a  $\langle \langle \theta, \mathbb{B}, \lambda \rangle, \mathbb{B}_{\bar{\alpha}_i}, G_i \rangle$  revision of  $\pi$ . Moreover  $\sigma_0 = \pi$

(b)  $\sigma_i$  coheres with  $\sigma_l$  wrt.  $\mathbb{B}_{\bar{\alpha}_l}$  for  $l \leq i$

(c)  $u_i \subset N^{\mathbb{B}}$  is finite

(d)  $\sigma_i \upharpoonright [\beta_l, \beta]$  coincides with  $\sigma_l \upharpoonright [\beta_l, \beta]$  on  $u_l$  for  $l \leq i$

(e)  $u \cup \bigcup_{l < i} u_l \subset u_i$

(f)  $x_h^{\mathbb{B}}, w_h \in u_i$  for  $h < i$ , where

$w =$  the  $N^{\mathbb{B}}$ -least  $w$  s.t.  $\bar{w} \leq \delta^{\mathbb{B}}$  in  $N^{\mathbb{B}}$

and  $\pi(x_h^{\mathbb{B}}) \in \sigma^{\mathbb{B}}(w)$  (where

$$\delta^{\mathbb{B}} = \text{mp}_{h < \omega} \delta(\mathbb{B}_{\bar{\alpha}_h}^{\mathbb{B}}).$$

(g)  $\sigma_i^{\mathbb{B}_h}(x_h^{\mathbb{B}}) \in u_i$  for  $h < i$ .

Simultaneously we construct  $b^i, \mu(i) \in N^{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{2}^i}}$  s.t.

III Let  $G$  be as in II. Set:  $b^i = (b^i)^G$  and

$\mu(i) = \mu(i)^G$ . Then:

(a)  $b^i \in (\mathbb{B}_{\lambda^{\beta_{i+1}}}^{\beta_{i+1}})^+$ . Set  $b_l^i = h_{\frac{1}{2}^{\beta_{i+1}}}^{\beta_{i+1}}(b^i)$ .

(b)  $\mu(i) = \langle \mu(i)_l \mid l < \omega \rangle$ , where

$\mu(i)_l \in (N^{\beta_{i+1}}) \mathbb{B}_l^{\beta_{i+1}}$  for  $l < \omega$

(c)  $b_i^i = 1$ ;  $b_i^k \in G_i^{\beta_{k+1}}$  for  $k < i$

IV Let  $G, b^i, \mu(i)$  be as in III. Let  $H \supset G_i^{\beta_{i+1}}$  be

$\mathbb{B}_{\lambda^{\beta_{i+1}}}^{\beta_{i+1}}$  - generic over  $N^{\beta_{i+1}}$  s.t.  $b^i \in H^+$ .

Set:  $H_l = H \cap \mathbb{B}_l^{\beta_{i+1}}$  for  $l < \omega$ . (Hence

$H_l = G_l^{\beta_{i+1}}$  for  $l \leq i$ .) Set:

$\mu(i)_l = (\mu(i)_l)^{H_l}$  ( $l < \omega$ ). Then:

(a)  $\mu(i) = \langle \mu(i)_l \mid l < \omega \rangle$  is a good matrix for  $N^{\beta_{i+1}}$  w.t.  $H$

(b)  $\mu(i)_l = \langle \sigma_l^{h, \beta_{i+1}} \mid h \leq \tilde{\beta}_i \rangle$  for  $l \leq i$ .

(c)  $\mu(i)_l \upharpoonright [\beta_n, \tilde{\beta}_i]$  coincides with

$\mu(i)_h \upharpoonright [\beta_n, \tilde{\beta}_i]$  on  $(\sigma^{\tilde{\beta}_h})^{-1} \cup_h$

for  $h \leq i, h \leq l < \omega$ .

We of course set:  $H_l^{d_i} = (\mu_l^{(i)})^{d_i} H_l$   
 for  $l \leq \omega$ ,  $i \leq \tilde{\beta}_i$ . But then

$$H^i = \bigcup_l H_l^{d_i} \text{ is } (\mathbb{B}_{< \lambda^i}^{d_i})^+ \text{-generic over } \mathbb{N}^i$$

for  $i \leq \tilde{\beta}_i$ . Hence, for  $h < i$ , we can form

$$b^h = (b^h) H^{\beta_{h+1}}, \quad \mu(h) = \mu(h) H^{\beta_{h+1}}$$

We shall ensure that:

$$(d) b^h \in (H^{\beta_{h+1}})^+ \text{ for } h < i; \text{ Moreover } e \in (H^0)^+$$

But then  $\mu(h)_l = \mu_l(h)_l H^{\beta_{h+1}}$  is defined

for  $l < \omega$  and satisfies the above conditions.

We ensure:

$$(e) \mu(h)_l^{d_i} = \mu_l^{(i)}^{d_i} H^{\beta_{h+1}} \text{ for } i \leq \tilde{\beta}_h, l < \omega.$$

In this context it is useful to write:

$$\mu_l^{(i)}^{d_i, \beta_{i+1}} =_{\text{pf}} \mu_l^{(i)}^{d_i} \text{ for } i \leq \tilde{\beta}_i, l < \omega,$$

With this convention we have:

$$\mu(h)_l^{i,k} = \mu_l^{(i)}^{i,k} \text{ for } l < \omega, i \leq k \leq \beta_{h+1}$$

for  $h \leq i$ , and we can, without

confusion, write  $\mu_l^{i,k}$ .

We note that IV (a) - (e) hold "locally"  
 - i.e. from IV we can derive:

V Let  $G, b^i, |i|$  be as in III. Let  $j^* \geq i$  and  
 let  $H \ni b_j^i$  be  $\widehat{B}_j^{\beta_{i+1}}$  - generic over  $N^{\beta_{i+1}}$   
 s.t.  $H \supset G_i^{\beta_{i+1}}$ . Set  $H_l = H \cap \widehat{B}_l^{\beta_{i+1}}$  for  $l \leq j^*$

Set  $\mu(i)_l = (\mu(i)_l | H_l \quad (l \leq j^*)$ . Then

(a)  $\mu(i)_j$  is a  $\langle \langle \theta^{\beta_{i+1}}, B^{\beta_{i+1}}, \lambda^{\beta_{i+1}} \rangle, \widehat{B}_j^{\beta_{i+1}}, H \rangle$  -  
 - revision of  $\pi$  cohering with  
 $\mu(i)_l$  wrt.  $\widehat{B}_l^{\beta_{i+1}}$  for  $l \leq j^*$

(b)  $\mu(i)_l = \langle \sigma_h^{\beta_{i+1}} | h \leq \tilde{\beta}_i \rangle$  for  $l \leq j^*$

(c)  $\mu(i)_j \upharpoonright [\beta_h, \tilde{\beta}_i]$  coincides with  $(\mu(i)_h \upharpoonright [\beta_h, \tilde{\beta}_i])$   
 on  $(\sigma_h^{\tilde{\beta}_i})^{-1} \cup u_h$  for  $h \leq j^*$

(d)  $b_j^h \in H_j^{\beta_{h+1}}$  for  $h < i$

(e)  $\mu(h)_l^k = \mu(i)_l^{k, \beta_{h+1}}$  for  $k \leq \tilde{\beta}_h, l \leq j^*$ .

This is because  $H$  can be extended to  
 a  $\widehat{B}_j^{\beta_{i+1}}$  - generic  $H'$  s.t.  $b^i \in H'^+$   
 since  $b_j^i = h_{j_i}^{\beta_{i+1}}(b^i) \in H$ .

We are now ready to prove (\*). Let  $a = \bigcap_i a_i$ . Let  $G$  be  $\mathbb{B}_{<\lambda}$ -generic, where  $a \in G^+$ . Set:  $G_i = G \cap \mathbb{B}_{\beta_i}$ ,  $\sigma_i = \sigma_i^+ G_i$ .

Claim:  $\langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  is a good matrix.

Set:  $G_i^h = (\sigma_i^h)^{-1} G_i$ . Then  $G_i^h \subset G_j^h$  for  $i \leq j$ , by coherence. Set  $G^h = \bigcup_{i < \omega} G_i^h$ . Then

(1)  $G^h$  is  $\mathbb{B}_{<\lambda}^h$ -generic over  $N^h$ .

(2)  $b^i \in (G^{\beta_{i+1}})^+$  for  $i < \omega$ , where  $b^i = (b^i)^{G_i}$

proof

$b_h^i \in G_h^{\beta_{i+1}}$  for  $i < h$  by III (c). But

$$b^i = \bigcap_{i' < h} b_h^{i'}$$

QED (2)

Thus, letting  $\mu(i) = \mu(i)^{G_i}$ , we have:

(3)  $\mu(i) = \mu(i)^{G^{\beta_{i+1}}}$  exists and satisfies IV (a)-(e) with  $H = G^{\beta_{i+1}}$ .

Thus we can write  $\mu_l^{hi} = \mu(i)_l^{hi}$  for  $l < \omega$ ,  $h \leq i \leq \beta_{i+1}$ , the choice of  $i < \omega$  being irrelevant. By IV (b):

$$(4) \mu_l^{hi} = \sigma_l^{hi} \text{ for } l < \omega, h \leq i < \beta.$$

We now verify (a)-(f) in the definition of good matrix:

(a) is immediate

We prove (b): (b) is proven for  $i < \beta$ , so let  $i = \beta$ . Let  $\Delta \in N^\beta$  be strongly dense in  $IB_{\lambda^\beta}^\beta$ . We must find  $c \in (G^\beta)^+$  s.t.  $c \in \Delta$ . Let  $\Delta \in U_{i_0}$ . Let  $j > i_0$  s.t.  $\sigma_j^{\beta_i, \beta}(\bar{\Delta}) = \Delta$ . Then  $\sigma_l^{\beta_i, \beta}(\bar{\Delta}) = \Delta$  for all  $l \geq j$ .  $\bar{\Delta}$  is strongly dense in  $IB_{\lambda^{\beta_i}}^{\beta_i}$ . Hence there is  $\bar{c} \in (G^{\beta_i})^+$  s.t.  $\bar{c} \in \bar{\Delta}$ . Let  $\bar{c} = x_{\lambda^{\beta_i}}^{\beta_i}$ . Assume w.l.o.g. that  $j > k$ . Let  $c = \sigma_j^{\beta_i, \beta}(\bar{c})$ . Then  $c = \sigma_l^{\beta_i, \beta}(\bar{c})$  for all  $l \geq j$ . Hence, since  $h_{\lambda^{\beta_i}}^{\beta_i}(\bar{c}) \in (G_{\lambda^{\beta_i}}^{\beta_i})$ , we have  $\sigma_l^{\beta_i, \beta}(h_{\lambda^{\beta_i}}^{\beta_i}(\bar{c})) = h_{\lambda^{\beta_i}}^{\beta_i}(c) \in G_{\lambda^{\beta_i}}^{\beta_i}$ . Hence  $c = \bigcap_{l \geq i} h_{\lambda^{\beta_i}}^{\beta_i}(c) \in (G^\beta)^+$ . But  $c = \sigma_j^{\beta_i, \beta}(\bar{c}) \in \sigma_j^{\beta_i, \beta}(\bar{\Delta}) = \Delta$ . QED (b).

We now prove (c).

Let  $i \leq \beta_n$  and let  $i_0 < i$  s.t.  $\sigma_l^{i, \beta_n}(x) = \sigma_{i_0}^{i, \beta_n}(x)$  for  $l \geq i_0$ .

$\sigma_{j_0}^i$  exists because  $\sigma_l^{i, \beta_n} = \mu_l^{i, \beta_n}$  and  $\mu(h)$  is a good matrix for  $N^{\beta_{h+1}}$ .

Let  $\sigma_{j_0}^{i, \beta_n}(x) = x_k^{\beta_n}$ , let  $j_1 > j_0, k$ .

For  $l \geq j_1$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_l^i(x) &= \sigma_l^{\beta_n}(\sigma_l^{i, \beta_n}(x)) = \\ &= \sigma_{j_1}^{\beta_n}(\sigma_{j_1}^{i, \beta_n}(x)) = \sigma_{j_1}^i(x). \quad \text{QED (c)} \end{aligned}$$

(d) holds for  $\lambda < \beta$ , since  $\mu(i)$  is a good matrix, where  $\beta_i > \lambda$ . (d) holds at  $\beta$  by II (d).

(e) holds at  $h < \beta$  because  $\mu(i)$  is a good matrix, where  $h < \beta_i$ . (e) holds at  $\beta$  by II (f).

We prove (f). We can assume without loss

that:  $\langle \sigma_i^j \mid i < \omega \rangle \in N$ .

This follows by the fact that:

$$S = \{ \langle b, i, y, x \rangle \mid i < \omega \wedge b \in B_{\beta_i} \wedge b \Vdash \sigma^i(x) = y \} \in$$

$N$ .

(To see this, note that  $S \subset B_\lambda \times C$ ,

where  $C = C_\sigma^N$  (using  $\pi$ ), where

$\sigma = \sup_i \sigma(B_{\beta_i})$ . But, just as

in the proof of Case 1.1.2,

we have  $C \in N$ ,  $\bar{C} < \theta$  in  $N$ . Thus,  
 setting  $\bar{S} = f^{-1} \circ S$ , where  $f \in N$  maps  
 a  $\delta < \theta$  onto  $B_\lambda \times C$ , we have  
 $\bar{S} \subset \mathcal{P}(\delta) \subset H_\theta \subset N$  + hence  $S = f \circ \bar{S} \in N$ .)

i But then  $\langle \sigma_i | i \omega \rangle = \langle \sigma_i^{G_i} | i \omega \rangle \in$   
 $\in N[G]$ .

For  $i < \beta$ ,  $\langle \sigma_i^{h_{i+1}} | h_{i+1} \omega \rangle \in N^{i+1}$   
 because  $\mu(k)$  is a good matrix,  
 taking  $i \leq \beta k$  QED (Claim)

It remains only to note:

- $\sigma_i$  coincides with  $\pi$  on  $u$  by (d), since  
 $\sigma_0 = \pi$  and  $u \subset u_0$
- $e \in (G^0)^+$  by IV (d)

This completes the proof of (\*).

All that remains is to define  $a_i, \sigma_i, u_i,$   
 $b_i$  and  $\mu(i)$  and verify I - IV.

We proceed by induction on  $i$ :

Case 1  $i=0$ . Set  $a_0=1, \sigma_0 = \check{\pi}$ . By the induction hypothesis there are  $b, \langle \check{\mu}_i; i < \omega \rangle$  satisfying (\*) at  $\check{\beta}_0$ . But, just as in the proof of Case 1.1.2, this fact is expressible over  $N$  in parameters from  $\text{rng } \pi^{\beta_1}$ . Since  $\pi^{\beta_1}; N^{\beta_1} \prec N$ , the corresponding statements hold in  $N^{\beta_1}$ . This gives us  $b^0 \in (B_{\check{\beta}_0})^+$ ,  $\check{\mu}(0) = \langle \check{\mu}(0)_i; i < \omega \rangle \in N^{\beta_1}$  satisfying (\*) for  $N^{\beta_1}$  w.r.t.  $\langle \pi^{\beta_1} \upharpoonright h \leq \check{\beta}_0 \rangle$ . Set:  $b^0 = \check{b}^0, \check{\mu}(0) = \check{\mu}(0)$ .

The verifications are straightforward.

Case 2  $i=k+1$ . We first construct  $a_i, \sigma_i$ .

By Lemma 2, there are  $a, \sigma$  s.t.  $a \in B_{\check{\beta}_i}$  and  $a_k = h_{\check{\beta}_k}^k(a), \sigma \in \mathcal{V} B_{\check{\beta}_i}$  w.r.t. whenever  $G \ni a$  is  $B_{\check{\beta}_i}$ -generic, then  $\sigma = \sigma^G$  is

a  $\langle \langle \emptyset, B, \lambda \rangle, B_{\check{\beta}_i}, G \rangle$ -revision of  $\pi \upharpoonright [\beta_i, \beta]$

coinciding with  $\sigma_k^{G_{k+1}} \upharpoonright [\beta_i, \beta]$  on  $u_k = u_k^{G_k}$ ,

and s.t.  $b_i^k \in G^{\beta_i}$ . (Here  $b_i^k = b^{G_k}$ ,

where  $a_k \Vdash_{\check{\beta}_k} b^k = h_{\check{\beta}_i}^k(b^k)$ ). Thus

$h_{\check{\beta}_k}^k(b_i^k) = b_k^k = 1$ .) Set:  $a_i = a$ .

Since  $b_i^k \in G^{\beta_i}$  and  $G_k^{\beta_i} \subset G^{\beta_i}$ , we can form  $\mu(k)_i = (\mu(k)_i)^{G^{\beta_i}}$ , where  $\mu(k) = \mu(k)^{G_k}$ . Set:

$$\sigma_i^h = \begin{cases} \sigma^h & \text{if } \beta_i \leq h \leq \beta \\ \sigma^{\beta_i} \cdot \mu(k)_i^h & \text{if } h < \beta_i \end{cases}$$

Let  $\sigma_i$  be the  $\sigma_i \in \mathcal{V} B_{\beta_i}$  s.t.  $\sigma_i = \sigma_i^{*G}$  satisfies the above definition for all  $B_{\beta_i}$ -generic  $G \ni a$ . We can

assume w.l.o.g. that  $\sigma_i \in N$ , since, arguing as in Case 1.1.2, we have  $S \in N$ , where:

$$S = \{ \langle b, h, y, x \rangle \mid h \leq \beta \wedge b \Vdash \sigma_i(x) = y \}$$

Noting that  $\mu(k)_i \upharpoonright [\beta_l, \tilde{\beta}_k]$  coincides with  $\mu(k)_l \upharpoonright [\beta_l, \tilde{\beta}_k] = \langle \sigma_l^h, \beta_l \mid \beta_l \leq h \leq \tilde{\beta}_k \rangle$  on  $(\sigma_l^{\beta_i})^{-1} u_l$  for  $l \leq k$ , we get:

$\sigma_i \upharpoonright [\beta_l, \tilde{\beta}_k]$  coincides with  $\sigma_l \upharpoonright [\beta_l, \tilde{\beta}_k]$  on  $u_l$  for  $l \leq k$ ,

Finally set:

$$U = U^G = u_k \cup \{ x_i^{\beta_i}, w_i \} \cup \{ \sigma_i^{\beta_i}(x_i^{\beta_i}) \mid i \in I \}$$

The verification of I, II is straightforward.