

Distinguished dimensions for special Riemannian geometries

Paweł Nurowski
Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej
Uniwersytet Warszawski

Kühlungsborn, 16 March 2006

Motivation

Motivation

In type II B string theory one considers:

Motivation

In type II B string theory one considers:

- $n = 6$ -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold (X, g) which, in addition to the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{LC} , is equipped with:
 - ★ a *metric* connection ∇^T with *totally skew-symmetric torsion* T ,
 - ★ a spinor field Ψ on X

Motivation

In type II B string theory one considers:

- $n = 6$ -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold (X, g) which, in addition to the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{LC} , is equipped with:
 - ★ a *metric* connection ∇^T with *totally skew-symmetric torsion* T ,
 - ★ a spinor field Ψ on X
- *special* Riemannian structure $(X, g, \nabla^T, T, \Psi)$ should satisfy a number of field equations including:

$$\nabla^T \Psi = 0, \quad \delta(T) = 0, \quad T \cdot \Psi = \mu \Psi, \quad Ric^{\nabla^T} = 0$$

Motivation

In type II B string theory one considers:

- $n = 6$ -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold (X, g) which, in addition to the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{LC} , is equipped with:
 - ★ a *metric* connection ∇^T with *totally skew-symmetric torsion* T ,
 - ★ a spinor field Ψ on X
- *special* Riemannian structure $(X, g, \nabla^T, T, \Psi)$ should satisfy a number of field equations including:

$$\nabla^T \Psi = 0, \quad \delta(T) = 0, \quad T \cdot \Psi = \mu \Psi, \quad Ric^{\nabla^T} = 0$$

Question: How to construct solutions to the above equations in n dimensions?

Special geometries $(X, g, \nabla^T, T, \Psi)$

Special geometries $(X, g, \nabla^T, T, \Psi)$

- Let Υ be an object (e.g. a tensor), whose isotropy under the action of $\mathbf{SO}(n)$ is $H \subset \mathbf{SO}(n)$. Infinitesimally, such an object determines the inclusion of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} of H in $\mathfrak{so}(n)$.

Special geometries $(X, g, \nabla^T, T, \Psi)$

- Let Υ be an object (e.g. a tensor), whose isotropy under the action of $\mathbf{SO}(n)$ is $H \subset \mathbf{SO}(n)$. Infinitesimally, such an object determines the inclusion of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} of H in $\mathfrak{so}(n)$.
- If (X, g) is endowed with such a Υ we can decompose the Levi-Civita connection 1-form $\overset{LC}{\Gamma} \in \mathfrak{so}(n) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ onto $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ and the rest:

$$\overset{LC}{\Gamma} = \Gamma + \frac{1}{2}T.$$

Special geometries $(X, g, \nabla^T, T, \Psi)$

- Let Υ be an object (e.g. a tensor), whose isotropy under the action of $\mathbf{SO}(n)$ is $H \subset \mathbf{SO}(n)$. Infinitesimally, such an object determines the inclusion of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} of H in $\mathfrak{so}(n)$.
- If (X, g) is endowed with such a Υ we can decompose the Levi-Civita connection 1-form $\overset{LC}{\Gamma} \in \mathfrak{so}(n) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ onto $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ and the rest:

$$\overset{LC}{\Gamma} = \Gamma + \frac{1}{2}T.$$

- Then the first Cartan structure equation $d\theta + (\Gamma + \frac{1}{2}T) \wedge \theta = 0$ for the Levi-Civita connection $\overset{LC}{\Gamma}$ may be rewritten to the form

$$d\theta + \Gamma \wedge \theta = -\frac{1}{2}T \wedge \theta$$

$$d\theta + \Gamma \wedge \theta = -\frac{1}{2}T \wedge \theta$$

and may be interpreted as the first structure equation for a *metric* connection $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ with torsion $T \in \mathfrak{so}(n) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$.

$$d\theta + \Gamma \wedge \theta = -\frac{1}{2}T \wedge \theta$$

and may be interpreted as the first structure equation for a *metric* connection $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ with torsion $T \in \mathfrak{so}(n) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$.

- Curvature of this connection $K \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^n$ - via the second structure equation:

$$K = d\Gamma + \Gamma \wedge \Gamma.$$

How to escape from the ambiguity in the split

$$\overset{LC}{\Gamma} = \Gamma + \frac{1}{2}T?$$

How to escape from the ambiguity in the split

$$\overset{LC}{\Gamma} = \Gamma + \frac{1}{2}T?$$

- String theory suggests, that T should be totally skew-symmetric.

How to escape from the ambiguity in the split

$$\overset{LC}{\Gamma} = \Gamma + \frac{1}{2}T?$$

- String theory suggests, that T should be totally skew-symmetric.

- Are there geometries (X, g, Υ) admitting the *unique* split

$$\overset{LC}{\Gamma} = \Gamma + \frac{1}{2}T \quad \text{with} \quad T \in \wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n?$$

How to escape from the ambiguity in the split

$$\overset{LC}{\Gamma} = \Gamma + \frac{1}{2}T?$$

- String theory suggests, that T should be totally skew-symmetric.
- Are there geometries (X, g, Υ) admitting the *unique* split
$$\overset{LC}{\Gamma} = \Gamma + \frac{1}{2}T \quad \text{with} \quad T \in \wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n?$$
- If so, for which n and $H \subset \mathbf{SO}(n)$?

How to escape from the ambiguity in the split

$$\overset{LC}{\Gamma} = \Gamma + \frac{1}{2}T?$$

- String theory suggests, that T should be totally skew-symmetric.
- Are there geometries (X, g, Υ) admitting the *unique* split
$$\overset{LC}{\Gamma} = \Gamma + \frac{1}{2}T \quad \text{with} \quad T \in \wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n?$$
- If so, for which n and $H \subset \mathbf{SO}(n)$?
- What is Υ which reduces $\mathbf{SO}(n)$ to H ?

Special geometries $(X, g, \nabla^T, T \equiv 0, \Psi)$

- If $T \in \wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^n$ was *identically zero*, then since $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \ni \Gamma = \overset{LC}{\Gamma}$, the *holonomy group* of (X, g) would be *reduced* to $H \in \mathbf{SO}(n)$.

Special geometries $(X, g, \nabla^T, T \equiv 0, \Psi)$

- If $T \in \wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^n$ was *identically zero*, then since $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \ni \Gamma = \overset{LC}{\Gamma}$, the *holonomy group* of (X, g) would be *reduced* to $H \in \mathbf{SO}(n)$.
- *All irreducible* compact Riemannian manifolds (X, g) with the reduced holonomy group are classified (**Berger**).

Special geometries $(X, g, \nabla^T, T \equiv 0, \Psi)$

- If $T \in \wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^n$ was *identically zero*, then since $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \ni \Gamma = \overset{LC}{\Gamma}$, the *holonomy group* of (X, g) would be *reduced* to $H \in \mathbf{SO}(n)$.
- *All irreducible* compact Riemannian manifolds (X, g) with the reduced holonomy group are classified (**Berger**).
- These are:
 - ★ either *symmetric spaces* G/H , with the holonomy group $H \subset \mathbf{SO}(n)$
 - ★ or they are contained in the *Berger's list*.

Berger's list

Berger's list

Holonomy group for g	Dimension of X	Type of X	Remarks
SO (n)	n	generic	
U (n)	$2n, n \geq 2$	Kähler manifold	Kähler
SU (n)	$2n, n \geq 2$	Calabi-Yau manifold	Ricci-flat, Kähler
Sp (n) · Sp (1)	$4n, n \geq 2$	quaternionic Kähler	Einstein
Sp (n)	$4n, n \geq 2$	hyperkähler manifold	Ricci-flat, Kähler
G ₂	7	G ₂ manifold	Ricci-flat
Spin (7)	8	Spin (7) manifold	Ricci-flat

Relaxing $T = 0$ for H from Berger's theorem

Relaxing $T = 0$ for H from Berger's theorem

At least two possibilities:

Relaxing $T = 0$ for H from Berger's theorem

At least two possibilities:

- relax $T = 0$ condition to $T \in \wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^n$ for H from *the Berger's list*. This approach leads e.g. to *nearly* Kähler geometries for $H = U(n)$, special *nonintegrable* $SU(3)$ geometries in dimension **6**, special *nonintegrable* G_2 geometries in dimension **7**, etc.

Relaxing $T = 0$ for H from Berger's theorem

At least two possibilities:

- relax $T = 0$ condition to $T \in \wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^n$ for H from *the Berger's list*. This approach leads e.g. to *nearly* Kähler geometries for $H = U(n)$, special *nonintegrable* $SU(3)$ geometries in dimension **6**, special *nonintegrable* G_2 geometries in dimension **7**, etc.
- relax $T = 0$ condition to $T \in \wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^n$ for H corresponding to the irreducible symmetric spaces G/H from *Cartan's list*.

The simplest case for the G/H possibilities

The simplest case for the G/H possibilities

- The first entry in the Cartan's list of the irreducible symmetric spaces:
 $G/H = \mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$.

The simplest case for the G/H possibilities

- The first entry in the Cartan's list of the irreducible symmetric spaces:
 $G/H = \mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$.
- Here: $X = \mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$, $\dim X = 5$ and the $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ acts irreducibly on each 5-dimensional tangent space at every point of X .

The simplest case for the G/H possibilities

- The first entry in the Cartan's list of the irreducible symmetric spaces:
 $G/H = \mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$.
- Here: $X = \mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$, $\dim X = 5$ and the $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ acts irreducibly on each 5-dimensional tangent space at every point of X .
- $X = \mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$ is the *integrable* ($T = 0$) model for the irreducible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ geometries in dimension 5.

The simplest case for the G/H possibilities

- The first entry in the Cartan's list of the irreducible symmetric spaces:
 $G/H = \mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$.
- Here: $X = \mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$, $\dim X = 5$ and the $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ acts irreducibly on each 5-dimensional tangent space at every point of X .
- $X = \mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$ is the *integrable* ($T = 0$) model for the irreducible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ geometries in dimension 5.
- **Th. Friedrich**: Is it possible to have 5-dimensional Riemannian geometries for which the torsionless model would be $X = \mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$?

The simplest case for the G/H possibilities

- The first entry in the Cartan's list of the irreducible symmetric spaces:
 $G/H = \mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$.
- Here: $X = \mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$, $\dim X = 5$ and the $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ acts irreducibly on each 5-dimensional tangent space at every point of X .
- $X = \mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$ is the *integrable* ($T = 0$) model for the irreducible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ geometries in dimension 5.
- **Th. Friedrich**: Is it possible to have 5-dimensional Riemannian geometries for which the torsionless model would be $X = \mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$?
- In other words, following Friedrich, we propose to study *irreducible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ geometries in dimension 5*.

Irreducible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ geometries in dimension 5

Irreducible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ geometries in dimension 5

- Tensor Υ whose isotropy group under the action of $\mathbf{SO}(5)$ is the irreducible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ is determined by the following conditions (Bobieński+PN):
 - i) $\Upsilon_{ijk} = \Upsilon_{(ijk)}$, (totally *symmetric*)
 - ii) $\Upsilon_{ijj} = 0$, (trace-free)
 - iii) $\Upsilon_{jki}\Upsilon_{lmi} + \Upsilon_{lji}\Upsilon_{kmi} + \Upsilon_{kli}\Upsilon_{jmi} = g_{jk}g_{lm} + g_{lj}g_{km} + g_{kl}g_{jm}$.

Irreducible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ geometries in dimension 5

- Tensor Υ whose isotropy group under the action of $\mathbf{SO}(5)$ is the irreducible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ is determined by the following conditions (Bobieński+PN):
 - i) $\Upsilon_{ijk} = \Upsilon_{(ijk)}$, (totally *symmetric*)
 - ii) $\Upsilon_{ijj} = 0$, (trace-free)
 - iii) $\Upsilon_{jki}\Upsilon_{lmi} + \Upsilon_{lji}\Upsilon_{kmi} + \Upsilon_{kli}\Upsilon_{jmi} = g_{jk}g_{lm} + g_{lj}g_{km} + g_{kl}g_{jm}$.
- A 5-dimensional Riemannian manifold (X, g) equipped with a tensor field Υ satisfying conditions i)-iii) and admitting a unique decomposition $\overset{LC}{\Gamma} = \Gamma + \frac{1}{2}T$, with $T \in \wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^5$ and $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{so}(3) \otimes \mathbb{R}^5$ is called *nearly integrable* irreducible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ structure.

- We have examples of such geometries. All our examples admit transitive symmetry group (which may be of dimension 8, 6 and 5)

- We have examples of such geometries. All our examples admit transitive symmetry group (which may be of dimension 8, 6 and 5)
- In particular, we have a 7-parameter family of nonequivalent examples which satisfy

$$\nabla^T \Psi = 0, \quad \delta(T) = 0, \quad T \cdot \Psi = \mu \Psi$$

i.e. equations of type IIB string theory (but in wrong dimension!). For this family of examples $T \neq 0$ and, at every point of X , we have two 2-dimensional vector spaces of ∇^T -covariantly constant spinors Ψ . Moreover, since for this family $K = 0$, we also have $Ric^{\nabla^T} = 0$.

Question

Question

What are the possible dimensions n in which there exists a tensor Υ satisfying:

i) $\Upsilon_{ijk} = \Upsilon_{(ijk)}$, (total *symmetry*)

ii) $\Upsilon_{ijj} = 0$, (no trace)

iii) $\Upsilon_{jki}\Upsilon_{lmi} + \Upsilon_{lji}\Upsilon_{kmi} + \Upsilon_{kli}\Upsilon_{jmi} = g_{jk}g_{lm} + g_{lj}g_{km} + g_{kl}g_{jm}$?

A closer look to $n = 5$ case

A closer look to $n = 5$ case

- Given Υ_{ijk} we consider a 3rd order polynomial $w(a) = \Upsilon_{ijk}a_i a_j a_k$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

A closer look to $n = 5$ case

- Given Υ_{ijk} we consider a 3rd order polynomial $w(a) = \Upsilon_{ijk}a_i a_j a_k$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.
- Then the tensor Υ which brakes $\mathbf{SO}(5)$ to the irreducible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ gives:

$$w(a) = 6\sqrt{3}a_1a_2a_3 + 3\sqrt{3}(a_1^2 - a_2^2)a_4 - (3a_1^2 + 3a_2^2 - 6a_3^2 - 6a_4^2 + 2a_5^2)a_5$$

A closer look to $n = 5$ case

- Given Υ_{ijk} we consider a 3rd order polynomial $w(a) = \Upsilon_{ijk}a_i a_j a_k$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

- Then the tensor Υ which brakes $\mathbf{SO}(5)$ to the irreducible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ gives:

$$w(a) = 6\sqrt{3}a_1a_2a_3 + 3\sqrt{3}(a_1^2 - a_2^2)a_4 - (3a_1^2 + 3a_2^2 - 6a_3^2 - 6a_4^2 + 2a_5^2)a_5$$

- Note that:

$$w(a) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_5 - \sqrt{3}a_4 & \sqrt{3}a_3 & \sqrt{3}a_2 \\ \sqrt{3}a_3 & a_5 + \sqrt{3}a_4 & \sqrt{3}a_1 \\ \sqrt{3}a_2 & \sqrt{3}a_1 & -2a_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Bryant: if $n = 5$, then also $n = 8$, $n = 14$ and $n = 26$

- because ...

Bryant: if $n = 5$, then also $n = 8$, $n = 14$ and $n = 26$

- because ... besides \mathbb{R} , we have \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} , \mathbb{O} .

Bryant: if $n = 5$, then also $n = 8$, $n = 14$ and $n = 26$

- because ... besides \mathbb{R} , we have \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} , \mathbb{O} .

- if $n = 5$ the tensor Υ is given by:

$$\Upsilon_{ijk}a_ia_ja_k = w(a) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_5 - \sqrt{3}a_4 & \sqrt{3}a_3 & \sqrt{3}a_2 \\ \sqrt{3}a_3 & a_5 + \sqrt{3}a_4 & \sqrt{3}a_1 \\ \sqrt{3}a_2 & \sqrt{3}a_1 & -2a_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Bryant: if $n = 5$, then also $n = 8$, $n = 14$ and $n = 26$

- because ... besides \mathbb{R} , we have \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} , \mathbb{O} .

- if $n = 5, 8, 14$ and 26 we take:

$$w(a) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_5 - \sqrt{3}a_4 & \sqrt{3}\alpha_3 & \sqrt{3}\alpha_2 \\ \sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_3 & a_5 + \sqrt{3}a_4 & \sqrt{3}\alpha_1 \\ \sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_2 & \sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_1 & -2a_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

Bryant: if $n = 5$, then also $n = 8$, $n = 14$ and $n = 26$

- because ... besides \mathbb{R} , we have \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} , \mathbb{O} .

- if $n = 5, 8, 14$ and 26 we take:

$$w(a) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_5 - \sqrt{3}a_4 & \sqrt{3}\alpha_3 & \sqrt{3}\alpha_2 \\ \sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_3 & a_5 + \sqrt{3}a_4 & \sqrt{3}\alpha_1 \\ \sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_2 & \sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_1 & -2a_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

where for $n = 5$:

$$\alpha_1 = a_1$$

$$\alpha_2 = a_2$$

$$\alpha_3 = a_3$$

Bryant: if $n = 5$, then also $n = 8$, $n = 14$ and $n = 26$

- because ... besides \mathbb{R} , we have \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} , \mathbb{O} .
- if $n = 5, 8, 14$ and 26 we take:

$$w(a) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_5 - \sqrt{3}a_4 & \sqrt{3}\alpha_3 & \sqrt{3}\alpha_2 \\ \sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_3 & a_5 + \sqrt{3}a_4 & \sqrt{3}\alpha_1 \\ \sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_2 & \sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_1 & -2a_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

where for $n = 8$:

$$\alpha_1 = a_1 + a_6i$$

$$\alpha_2 = a_2 + a_7i$$

$$\alpha_3 = a_3 + a_8i$$

Bryant: if $n = 5$, then also $n = 8$, $n = 14$ and $n = 26$

- because ... besides \mathbb{R} , we have \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} , \mathbb{O} .

- if $n = 5, 8, 14$ and 26 we take:

$$w(a) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_5 - \sqrt{3}a_4 & \sqrt{3}\alpha_3 & \sqrt{3}\alpha_2 \\ \sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_3 & a_5 + \sqrt{3}a_4 & \sqrt{3}\alpha_1 \\ \sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_2 & \sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_1 & -2a_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

where for $n = 14$:

$$\alpha_1 = a_1 + a_6i + a_9j + a_{10}k$$

$$\alpha_2 = a_2 + a_7i + a_{11}j + a_{12}k$$

$$\alpha_3 = a_3 + a_8i + a_{13}j + a_{14}k$$

Bryant: if $n = 5$, then also $n = 8$, $n = 14$ and $n = 26$

- because ... besides \mathbb{R} , we have \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} , \mathbb{O} .

- if $n = 5, 8, 14$ and 26 we take:

$$w(a) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_5 - \sqrt{3}a_4 & \sqrt{3}\alpha_3 & \sqrt{3}\alpha_2 \\ \sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_3 & a_5 + \sqrt{3}a_4 & \sqrt{3}\alpha_1 \\ \sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_2 & \sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_1 & -2a_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

where for $n = 26$:

$$\alpha_1 = a_1 + a_6i + a_9j + a_{10}k + a_{15}p + a_{16}q + a_{17}r + a_{18}s,$$

$$\alpha_2 = a_2 + a_7i + a_{11}j + a_{12}k + a_{19}p + a_{20}q + a_{21}r + a_{22}s,$$

$$\alpha_3 = a_3 + a_8i + a_{13}j + a_{14}k + a_{23}p + a_{24}q + a_{25}r + a_{26}s.$$

Bryant: if $n = 5$, then also $n = 8$, $n = 14$ and $n = 26$

- because ... besides \mathbb{R} , we have \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} , \mathbb{O} .

- if $n = 5, 8, 14$ and 26 we take:

$$w(a) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_5 - \sqrt{3}a_4 & \sqrt{3}\alpha_3 & \sqrt{3}\alpha_2 \\ \sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_3 & a_5 + \sqrt{3}a_4 & \sqrt{3}\alpha_1 \\ \sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_2 & \sqrt{3}\bar{\alpha}_1 & -2a_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

where for $n = 26$:

$$\alpha_1 = a_1 + a_6i + a_9j + a_{10}k + a_{15}p + a_{16}q + a_{17}r + a_{18}s,$$

$$\alpha_2 = a_2 + a_7i + a_{11}j + a_{12}k + a_{19}p + a_{20}q + a_{21}r + a_{22}s,$$

$$\alpha_3 = a_3 + a_8i + a_{13}j + a_{14}k + a_{23}p + a_{24}q + a_{25}r + a_{26}s.$$

- For each $n = 5, 8, 14$ i 26 tensor Υ given by

$$\Upsilon_{ijk}a_ia_ja_k = w(a)$$

satisfies i)-iii)!

Stabilizer H for γ

Stabilizer H for Υ

Theorem 1

In dimensions $n = 5, 8, 14$ i 26 tensor Υ reduces the $\mathbf{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ group via $\mathbf{O}(n)$ to a subgroup H_n , where:

- for $n = 5$ group H_5 is the irreducible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ in $\mathbf{SO}(5)$;
the torsionless compact model: $\mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$

Stabilizer H for Υ

Theorem 1

In dimensions $n = 5, 8, 14$ i 26 tensor Υ reduces the $\mathbf{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ group via $\mathbf{O}(n)$ to a subgroup H_n , where:

- for $n = 5$ group H_5 is the irreducible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ in $\mathbf{SO}(5)$;
the torsionless compact model: $\mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$
- for $n = 8$ group H_8 is the irreducible $\mathbf{SU}(3)$ in $\mathbf{SO}(8)$;
the torsionless compact model: $\mathbf{SU}(3)$

Stabilizer H for Υ

Theorem 1

In dimensions $n = 5, 8, 14$ i 26 tensor Υ reduces the $\mathbf{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ group via $\mathbf{O}(n)$ to a subgroup H_n , where:

- for $n = 5$ group H_5 is the irreducible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ in $\mathbf{SO}(5)$;
the torsionless compact model: $\mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$
- for $n = 8$ group H_8 is the irreducible $\mathbf{SU}(3)$ in $\mathbf{SO}(8)$;
the torsionless compact model: $\mathbf{SU}(3)$
- for $n = 14$ group H_{14} is the irreducible $\mathbf{Sp}(3)$ in $\mathbf{SO}(14)$;
the torsionless model: $\mathbf{SU}(6)/\mathbf{Sp}(3)$

Stabilizer H for Υ

Theorem 1

In dimensions $n = 5, 8, 14$ i 26 tensor Υ reduces the $\mathbf{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ group via $\mathbf{O}(n)$ to a subgroup H_n , where:

- for $n = 5$ group H_5 is the irreducible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ in $\mathbf{SO}(5)$;
the torsionless compact model: $\mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$
- for $n = 8$ group H_8 is the irreducible $\mathbf{SU}(3)$ in $\mathbf{SO}(8)$;
the torsionless compact model: $\mathbf{SU}(3)$
- for $n = 14$ group H_{14} is the irreducible $\mathbf{Sp}(3)$ in $\mathbf{SO}(14)$;
the torsionless model: $\mathbf{SU}(6)/\mathbf{Sp}(3)$
- for $n = 26$ group H_{26} is the irreducible \mathbf{F}_4 in $\mathbf{SO}(26)$;
the torsionless compact model: $\mathbf{E}_6/\mathbf{F}_4$

Theorem 2

- The only dimensions in which conditions i)-iii) have solutions for Υ_{ijk} are $n = 5, 8, 14, 26$.
- Modulo the action of $\mathbf{O}(n)$ all such tensors are given by $\det A$, where A is a 3×3 traceless hermitian matrix with entries in $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$, for the respective dimensions $5, 8, 14, 26$.

Idea of the proof

Theorem 2

- The only dimensions in which conditions i)-iii) have solutions for Υ_{ijk} are $n = 5, 8, 14, 26$.
- Modulo the action of $\mathbf{O}(n)$ all such tensors are given by $\det A$, where A is a 3×3 traceless hermitian matrix with entries in $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$, for the respective dimensions $5, 8, 14, 26$.

Idea of the proof

- It follows from Cartan's work on *isoparametric hypersurfaces in spheres*.

Theorem 2

- The only dimensions in which conditions i)-iii) have solutions for Υ_{ijk} are $n = 5, 8, 14, 26$.
- Modulo the action of $\mathbf{O}(n)$ all such tensors are given by $\det A$, where A is a 3×3 traceless hermitian matrix with entries in $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$, for the respective dimensions $5, 8, 14, 26$.

Idea of the proof

- It follows from Cartan's work on *isoparametric hypersurfaces in spheres*.
- A hypersurface S is isoparametric in \mathbf{S}^{n-1} iff all its *principal curvatures* are *constant*.

Theorem 2

- The only dimensions in which conditions i)-iii) have solutions for Υ_{ijk} are $n = 5, 8, 14, 26$.
- Modulo the action of $\mathbf{O}(n)$ all such tensors are given by $\det A$, where A is a 3×3 traceless hermitian matrix with entries in $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$, for the respective dimensions $5, 8, 14, 26$.

Idea of the proof

- It follows from Cartan's work on *isoparametric hypersurfaces in spheres*.
- A hypersurface S is isoparametric in \mathbf{S}^{n-1} iff all its *principal curvatures* are *constant*.

- Cartan proved that S is isoparametric in

$$\mathbf{S}^{n-1} = \{a^i \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (a^1)^2 + (a^2)^2 + \dots + (a^n)^2 = 1\}$$

and has **3** *distinct* principal curvatures iff $S = \mathbf{S}^{n-1} \cap P_c$, where

$$P_c = \{a^i \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid w(a) = c = \text{const} \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

- Cartan proved that S is isoparametric in

$$\mathbf{S}^{n-1} = \{a^i \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (a^1)^2 + (a^2)^2 + \dots + (a^n)^2 = 1\}$$

and has **3** *distinct* principal curvatures iff $S = \mathbf{S}^{n-1} \cap P_c$, where

$$P_c = \{a^i \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid w(a) = c = \text{const} \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

and $w = w(a)$ is a homogeneous **3**rd order *polynomial* in variables (a^i) such that

$$\text{ii) } \quad \Delta w = 0$$

$$\text{iii) } \quad |\nabla w|^2 = 9 [(a^1)^2 + (a^2)^2 + \dots + (a^n)^2]^2.$$

- Cartan proved that S is isoparametric in

$$\mathbf{S}^{n-1} = \{a^i \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (a^1)^2 + (a^2)^2 + \dots + (a^n)^2 = 1\}$$

and has **3** *distinct* principal curvatures iff $S = \mathbf{S}^{n-1} \cap P_c$, where

$$P_c = \{a^i \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid w(a) = c = \text{const} \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

and $w = w(a)$ is a homogeneous **3**rd order *polynomial* in variables (a^i) such that

$$\text{ii) } \quad \Delta w = 0$$

$$\text{iii) } \quad |\nabla w|^2 = 9 [(a^1)^2 + (a^2)^2 + \dots + (a^n)^2]^2.$$

- He reduced the above differential equations for $w = w(a)$ to equations for a certain function with the properties of a function he encountered when solving the problem of parallelizability of spheres.

- Cartan proved that S is isoparametric in

$$\mathbf{S}^{n-1} = \{a^i \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (a^1)^2 + (a^2)^2 + \dots + (a^n)^2 = 1\}$$

and has **3** *distinct* principal curvatures iff $S = \mathbf{S}^{n-1} \cap P_c$, where

$$P_c = \{a^i \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid w(a) = c = \text{const} \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

and $w = w(a)$ is a homogeneous **3**rd order *polynomial* in variables (a^i) such that

$$\text{ii) } \quad \Delta w = 0$$

$$\text{iii) } \quad |\nabla w|^2 = 9 \left[(a^1)^2 + (a^2)^2 + \dots + (a^n)^2 \right]^2.$$

- He reduced the above differential equations for $w = w(a)$ to equations for a certain function with the properties of a function he encountered when solving the problem of parallelizability of spheres.
- He concluded that the problem is equivalent to the problem of existence and the possible dimensions for the normed division algebras. Thus $n = 3k + 2$, where $k = 1, 2, 4, 8$ are dimensions of $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$.

H_k structures in dimensions $n_k = 5, 8, 14, 26$

H_k structures in dimensions $n_k = 5, 8, 14, 26$

Definition

An H_k structure on a n_k -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) is a structure defined by means of a rank 3 tensor field Υ satisfying

- i) $\Upsilon_{ijk} = \Upsilon_{(ijk)}$,
- ii) $\Upsilon_{ijj} = 0$,
- iii) $\Upsilon_{jki}\Upsilon_{lmi} + \Upsilon_{lji}\Upsilon_{kmi} + \Upsilon_{kli}\Upsilon_{jmi} = g_{jk}g_{lm} + g_{lj}g_{km} + g_{kl}g_{jm}$.

H_k structures in dimensions $n_k = 5, 8, 14, 26$

Definition

An H_k structure on a n_k -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) is a structure defined by means of a rank 3 tensor field Υ satisfying

- i) $\Upsilon_{ijk} = \Upsilon_{(ijk)}$,
- ii) $\Upsilon_{ijj} = 0$,
- iii) $\Upsilon_{jki}\Upsilon_{lmi} + \Upsilon_{lji}\Upsilon_{kmi} + \Upsilon_{kli}\Upsilon_{jmi} = g_{jk}g_{lm} + g_{lj}g_{km} + g_{kl}g_{jm}$.

An H_k structure is called *nearly integrable* iff

$$\nabla_X^{LC} \Upsilon(X, X, X) = 0, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM)$$

Nearly integrable H_k structures and characteristic connection

Nearly integrable H_k structures and characteristic connection

Question: What are the necessary and sufficient conditions for a H_k structure to admit a unique decomposition

$$\overset{LC}{\Gamma} = \Gamma + \frac{1}{2}T$$

with $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{h}_k \otimes \mathbb{R}^k$ and $T \in \wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$?

Nearly integrable H_k structures and characteristic connection

Question: What are the necessary and sufficient conditions for a H_k structure to admit a unique decomposition

$$\overset{LC}{\Gamma} = \Gamma + \frac{1}{2}T$$

with $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{h}_k \otimes \mathbb{R}^k$ and $T \in \wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$?

If such a unique decomposition exists, the connection Γ is called *characteristic connection* of the H_k structure.

Nearly integrable H_k structures and characteristic connection

Question: What are the necessary and sufficient conditions for a H_k structure to admit a unique decomposition

$$\overset{LC}{\Gamma} = \Gamma + \frac{1}{2}T$$

with $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{h}_k \otimes \mathbb{R}^k$ and $T \in \wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$?

If such a unique decomposition exists, the connection Γ is called *characteristic connection* of the H_k structure.

Proposition 1

Every H_k structure that admits a characteristic connection must be *nearly integrable*.

Representations

Representations

- There are *real* irreducible representations of the group **SO(3)** in odd dimensions: **1, 3, 5, 7, 9...**

Representations

- There are *real* irreducible representations of the group **SO(3)** in odd dimensions: **1, 3, 5, 7, 9...**
- There are *real* irreducible representations of the group **SU(3)** in dimensions: **1, 8, 20, 27, 70...**

Representations

- There are *real* irreducible representations of the group **SO(3)** in odd dimensions: **1, 3, 5, 7, 9...**
- There are *real* irreducible representations of the group **SU(3)** in dimensions: **1, 8, 20, 27, 70...**
- There are *real* irreducible representations of the group **Sp(3)** in dimensions: **1, 14, 21, 70, 84, 90, 126, 189, 512, 525...**

Representations

- There are *real* irreducible representations of the group **SO(3)** in odd dimensions: **1, 3, 5, 7, 9...**
- There are *real* irreducible representations of the group **SU(3)** in dimensions: **1, 8, 20, 27, 70...**
- There are *real* irreducible representations of the group **Sp(3)** in dimensions: **1, 14, 21, 70, 84, 90, 126, 189, 512, 525...**
- There are *real* irreducible representations of the group **F₄** in dimensions: **1, 26, 52, 273, 324, 1053, 1274, 4096, 8424...**

Proposition 2

Proposition 2

- In dimensions 5 and 14 the nearly integrable condition is also sufficient for the existence of the characteristic connection.

Proposition 2

- In dimensions 5 and 14 the nearly integrable condition is also sufficient for the existence of the characteristic connection.
- In dimension 8 the spaces $\mathfrak{h}_k \otimes \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$ have 1-dimensional intersection V_1 .

Proposition 2

- In dimensions 5 and 14 the nearly integrable condition is also sufficient for the existence of the characteristic connection.
- In dimension 8 the spaces $\mathfrak{h}_k \otimes \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$ have 1-dimensional intersection V_1 . In this dimension a sufficient condition for the existence of characteristic connection Γ is that the Levi-Civita connection $\overset{LC}{\Gamma}$ of a nearly integrable $\mathbf{SU}(3)$ structure does not have V_1 components in the $\mathbf{SU}(3)$ decomposition of $\mathfrak{so}(8) \otimes \mathbb{R}^8$ onto the irreducibles.

Proposition 2

- In dimensions **5** and **14** the nearly integrable condition is also sufficient for the existence of the characteristic connection.
- In dimension **8** the spaces $\mathfrak{h}_k \otimes \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\bigwedge^3 \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$ have **1**-dimensional intersection V_1 . In this dimension a sufficient condition for the existence of characteristic connection Γ is that the Levi-Civita connection $\overset{LC}{\Gamma}$ of a nearly integrable **SU(3)** structure does not have V_1 components in the **SU(3)** decomposition of $\mathfrak{so}(8) \otimes \mathbb{R}^8$ onto the irreducibles.
- In dimension **26** the Levi-Civita connection $\overset{LC}{\Gamma}$ of a nearly integrable **F₄** structure may have values in **52**-dimensional irreducible representation V_{52} of **F₄**, which is not present in the algebraic sum of $\mathfrak{f}_4 \otimes \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\bigwedge^3 \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$.

Proposition 2

- In dimensions **5** and **14** the nearly integrable condition is also sufficient for the existence of the characteristic connection.
- In dimension **8** the spaces $\mathfrak{h}_k \otimes \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\bigwedge^3 \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$ have **1**-dimensional intersection V_1 . In this dimension a sufficient condition for the existence of characteristic connection Γ is that the Levi-Civita connection $\overset{LC}{\Gamma}$ of a nearly integrable **SU(3)** structure does not have V_1 components in the **SU(3)** decomposition of $\mathfrak{so}(8) \otimes \mathbb{R}^8$ onto the irreducibles.
- In dimension **26** the Levi-Civita connection $\overset{LC}{\Gamma}$ of a nearly integrable **F₄** structure may have values in **52**-dimensional irreducible representation V_{52} of **F₄**, which is not present in the algebraic sum of $\mathfrak{f}_4 \otimes \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\bigwedge^3 \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$. The sufficient condition for such structures to admit characteristic Γ is that $\overset{LC}{\Gamma}$ has not components in V_{52} .

Restricted nearly integrable H_k structures

Restricted nearly integrable H_k structures

Definition

The nearly integrable H_k structures described by Proposition 2 are called *restricted* nearly integrable.

What the restricted nearly integrable condition means for a H_k structure?

What the restricted nearly integrable condition means for a H_k structure?

- If $n_k = 5$ then, out of the *a priori* 50 independent components of the Levi-Civita connection $\overset{LC}{\Gamma}$, the restricted nearly integrable condition excludes 25.

What the restricted nearly integrable condition means for a H_k structure?

- If $n_k = 5$ then, out of the *a priori* 50 independent components of the Levi-Civita connection $\overset{LC}{\Gamma}$, the restricted nearly integrable condition excludes 25. Thus, heuristically, the restricted nearly integrable $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ structures constitute 'a half' of all the possible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ structures in dimension 5.

What the restricted nearly integrable condition means for a H_k structure?

- If $n_k = 5$ then, out of the *a priori* 50 independent components of the Levi-Civita connection $\overset{LC}{\Gamma}$, the restricted nearly integrable condition excludes 25. Thus, heuristically, the restricted nearly integrable $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ structures constitute 'a half' of all the possible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ structures in dimension 5.
- If $n_k = 8$ the Levi-Civita connection has 224 components. The restricted nearly integrable condition reduces it to 118.

What the restricted nearly integrable condition means for a H_k structure?

- If $n_k = 5$ then, out of the *a priori* 50 independent components of the Levi-Civita connection $\overset{LC}{\Gamma}$, the restricted nearly integrable condition excludes 25. Thus, heuristically, the restricted nearly integrable $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ structures constitute 'a half' of all the possible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ structures in dimension 5.
- If $n_k = 8$ the Levi-Civita connection has 224 components. The restricted nearly integrable condition reduces it to 118.
- For $n_k = 14$ these numbers reduce from 1274 to 658.

What the restricted nearly integrable condition means for a H_k structure?

- If $n_k = 5$ then, out of the *a priori* 50 independent components of the Levi-Civita connection $\overset{LC}{\Gamma}$, the restricted nearly integrable condition excludes 25. Thus, heuristically, the restricted nearly integrable $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ structures constitute 'a half' of all the possible $\mathbf{SO}(3)$ structures in dimension 5.
- If $n_k = 8$ the Levi-Civita connection has 224 components. The restricted nearly integrable condition reduces it to 118.
- For $n_k = 14$ these numbers reduce from 1274 to 658.
- For $n_k = 26$ the reduction is from 8450 to 3952.

Torsion types of the characteristic connection for H_k
geometries

Torsion types of the characteristic connection for H_k geometries

Let (M, g, Υ) be a nearly integrable H_k structure admitting characteristic connection Γ . The H_k irreducible decomposition of the skew symmetric torsion T of Γ is given by:

Torsion types of the characteristic connection for H_k geometries

Let (M, g, Υ) be a nearly integrable H_k structure admitting characteristic connection Γ . The H_k irreducible decomposition of the skew symmetric torsion T of Γ is given by:

- $T \in {}^5\Lambda_7^2 \oplus {}^5\Lambda_3^2$, for $n_k = 5$,

Torsion types of the characteristic connection for H_k geometries

Let (M, g, Υ) be a nearly integrable H_k structure admitting characteristic connection Γ . The H_k irreducible decomposition of the skew symmetric torsion T of Γ is given by:

- $T \in {}^5\Lambda_7^2 \oplus {}^5\Lambda_3^2$, for $n_k = 5$,
- $T \in {}^8\odot_{27}^2 \oplus {}^8\Lambda_{20}^2 \oplus {}^8\odot_8^2 \oplus {}^8\odot_1^2$, for $n_k = 8$,

Torsion types of the characteristic connection for H_k geometries

Let (M, g, Υ) be a nearly integrable H_k structure admitting characteristic connection Γ . The H_k irreducible decomposition of the skew symmetric torsion T of Γ is given by:

- $T \in {}^5\Lambda_7^2 \oplus {}^5\Lambda_3^2$, for $n_k = 5$,
- $T \in {}^8\odot_{27}^2 \oplus {}^8\Lambda_{20}^2 \oplus {}^8\odot_8^2 \oplus {}^8\odot_1^2$, for $n_k = 8$,
- $T \in {}^{14}V_{189} \oplus {}^{14}V_{84} \oplus {}^{14}\Lambda_{70}^2 \oplus {}^{14}\Lambda_{21}^2$, for $n_k = 14$,

Torsion types of the characteristic connection for H_k geometries

Let (M, g, Υ) be a nearly integrable H_k structure admitting characteristic connection Γ . The H_k irreducible decomposition of the skew symmetric torsion T of Γ is given by:

- $T \in {}^5\Lambda_7^2 \oplus {}^5\Lambda_3^2$, for $n_k = 5$,
- $T \in {}^8\odot_{27}^2 \oplus {}^8\Lambda_{20}^2 \oplus {}^8\odot_8^2 \oplus {}^8\odot_1^2$, for $n_k = 8$,
- $T \in {}^{14}V_{189} \oplus {}^{14}V_{84} \oplus {}^{14}\Lambda_{70}^2 \oplus {}^{14}\Lambda_{21}^2$, for $n_k = 14$,
- $T \in {}^{26}V_{1274} \oplus {}^{26}V_{1053} \oplus {}^{26}\Lambda_{273}^2$, for $n_k = 26$.

SU(3) structures in dimension 8

$SU(3)$ structures in dimension 8

- We have examples of these structures admitting a characteristic connection with nonzero torsion.

$SU(3)$ structures in dimension 8

- We have examples of these structures admitting a characteristic connection with nonzero torsion.
- All our examples admit transitive symmetry group, which can have dimension ≤ 16 .

$SU(3)$ structures in dimension 8

- We have examples of these structures admitting a characteristic connection with nonzero torsion.
- All our examples admit transitive symmetry group, which can have dimension ≤ 16 .
- 2-parameter family with transitive symmetry group of dimension 11, torsion $T \in {}^8\mathcal{O}_{27}^2$, Ric^F has 2 different constant eigenvalues of multiplicity 5 and 3

$SU(3)$ structures in dimension 8

- We have examples of these structures admitting a characteristic connection with nonzero torsion.
- All our examples admit transitive symmetry group, which can have dimension ≤ 16 .
- 2-parameter family with transitive symmetry group of dimension 11, torsion $T \in {}^8\mathcal{O}_{27}^2$, Ric^Γ has 2 different constant eigenvalues of multiplicity 5 and 3.
- 2-parameter family with transitive symmetry group of dimension 9, torsion $T \in {}^8\mathcal{O}_8^2$, Ric^Γ has 2 different constant eigenvalues of multiplicity 4 and 4.

Magic square

$so(3)$	$su(3)$	$sp(3)$	f_4
$su(3)$	$2su(3)$	$su(6)$	e_6
$sp(3)$	$su(6)$	$so(12)$	e_7
f_4	e_6	e_7	e_8

Magic square

$\mathfrak{so}(3)$	$\mathfrak{su}(3)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(3)$	\mathfrak{f}_4
$\mathfrak{su}(3)$	$2\mathfrak{su}(3)$	$\mathfrak{su}(6)$	\mathfrak{e}_6
$\mathfrak{sp}(3)$	$\mathfrak{su}(6)$	$\mathfrak{so}(12)$	\mathfrak{e}_7
\mathfrak{f}_4	\mathfrak{e}_6	\mathfrak{e}_7	\mathfrak{e}_8

$\mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$2\mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$

Magic square

$\mathfrak{so}(3)$	$\mathfrak{su}(3)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(3)$	\mathfrak{f}_4
$\mathfrak{su}(3)$	$2\mathfrak{su}(3)$	$\mathfrak{su}(6)$	\mathfrak{e}_6
$\mathfrak{sp}(3)$	$\mathfrak{su}(6)$	$\mathfrak{so}(12)$	\mathfrak{e}_7
\mathfrak{f}_4	\mathfrak{e}_6	\mathfrak{e}_7	\mathfrak{e}_8

$\mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$2\mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$

$\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$

Distinguished dimensions

$\mathbf{SU}(3)/\mathbf{SO}(3)$	$\mathbf{Sp}(3)/\mathbf{U}(3)$	$\mathbf{F}_4/(\mathbf{Sp}(3) \times \mathbf{SU}(2))$
$\mathbf{SU}(3)$	$\mathbf{SU}(6)/\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{U}(3) \times \mathbf{U}(3))$	$\mathbf{E}_6/(\mathbf{SU}(6) \times \mathbf{SU}(2))$
$\mathbf{SU}(6)/\mathbf{Sp}(3)$	$\mathbf{SO}(12)/\mathbf{U}(6)$	$\mathbf{E}_7/(\mathbf{SO}(12) \times \mathbf{SU}(2))$
$\mathbf{E}_6/\mathbf{F}_4$	$\mathbf{E}_7/(\mathbf{E}_6 \times \mathbf{SO}(2))$	$\mathbf{E}_8/(\mathbf{E}_7 \times \mathbf{SU}(2))$

These 12 symmetric spaces can be considered torsionless models for special geometries on Riemannian manifolds M with the following dimensions and structure groups:

Distinguished dimensions (continued)

n_k	Structure group H_k	$2(n_k + 1)$	Structure group	$4(n_k + 2)$	Structure group
5	SO(3)	12	U(3)	28	Sp(3) × SU(2)
8	SU(3)	18	S(U(3) × U(3))	40	SU(6) × SU(2)
14	Sp(3)	30	U(6)	64	SO(12) × SU(2)
26	F₄	54	E₆ × SO(2)	112	E₇ × SU(2)

Distinguished dimensions (continued)

n_k	Structure group H_k	$2(n_k + 1)$	Structure group	$4(n_k + 2)$	Structure group
5	SO(3)	12	U(3)	28	Sp(3) × SU(2)
8	SU(3)	18	S(U(3) × U(3))	40	SU(6) × SU(2)
14	Sp(3)	30	U(6)	64	SO(12) × SU(2)
26	F₄	54	E₆ × SO(2)	112	E₇ × SU(2)

Two exceptional cases:

- 1) $\dim M = 8$, with the structure group **SU(2) × SU(2)** and with the torsionless model of compact type $M = \mathbf{G}_2/(\mathbf{SU}(2) \times \mathbf{SU}(2))$.

Distinguished dimensions (continued)

n_k	Structure group H_k	$2(n_k + 1)$	Structure group	$4(n_k + 2)$	Structure group
5	SO(3)	12	U(3)	28	Sp(3) × SU(2)
8	SU(3)	18	S(U(3) × U(3))	40	SU(6) × SU(2)
14	Sp(3)	30	U(6)	64	SO(12) × SU(2)
26	F₄	54	E₆ × SO(2)	112	E₇ × SU(2)

Two exceptional cases:

- 1) $\dim M = 8$, with the structure group **SU(2) × SU(2)** and with the torsionless model of compact type $M = \mathbf{G}_2 / (\mathbf{SU}(2) \times \mathbf{SU}(2))$.
- 2) $\dim M = 32$, with the structure group **SO(10) × SO(2)** and with the torsionless model of compact type $M = \mathbf{E}_6 / (\mathbf{SO}(10) \times \mathbf{SO}(2))$.

SU(3) structures in dimension 8 (continued)

SU(3) structures in dimension 8 (continued)

- In the decomposition of $\wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^8$ onto the irreducible components under the action of **SU(3)** there exists a 1-dimensional **SU(3)** invariant subspace ${}^8\odot_1^2$.

SU(3) structures in dimension 8 (continued)

- In the decomposition of $\wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^8$ onto the irreducible components under the action of **SU(3)** there exists a 1-dimensional **SU(3)** invariant subspace ${}^8\mathbb{C}_1^2$.
- This space, in an orthonormal coframe adapted to the **SU(3)** structure is spanned by a 3-form

$$\psi = \tau_1 \wedge \theta^6 + \tau_2 \wedge \theta^7 + \tau_3 \wedge \theta^8 + \theta^6 \wedge \theta^7 \wedge \theta^8,$$

where (τ_1, τ_2, τ_3) are 2-forms

$$\tau_1 = \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 + \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 + \sqrt{3}\theta^1 \wedge \theta^5$$

$$\tau_2 = \theta^1 \wedge \theta^3 + \theta^4 \wedge \theta^2 + \sqrt{3}\theta^2 \wedge \theta^5$$

$$\tau_3 = \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 + 2\theta^4 \wedge \theta^3$$

spanning the 3-dimensional irreducible representation ${}^5\wedge_3^2 \simeq \mathfrak{so}(3)$ associated with **SO(3)** structure in dimension 5.

- The 3-form ψ can be considered in \mathbb{R}^8 without any reference to tensor γ .

- The 3-form ψ can be considered in \mathbb{R}^8 without any reference to tensor Υ .
- It is remarkable that this 3-form *alone* reduces the $\mathbf{GL}(8, \mathbb{R})$ to the irreducible $\mathbf{SU}(3)$ in the same way as Υ does.

- The 3-form ψ can be considered in \mathbb{R}^8 without any reference to tensor Υ .
- It is remarkable that this 3-form *alone* reduces the $\mathbf{GL}(8, \mathbb{R})$ to the irreducible $\mathbf{SU}(3)$ in the same way as Υ does.
- Thus, in dimension 8, the H_k structure can be defined either in terms of the *totally symmetric* Υ or in terms of the *totally skew symmetric* ψ .

In this sense the 3-form ψ and the 2-forms (τ_1, τ_2, τ_3) play the same role in the relations between **SU(3)** structures in dimension *eight* and **SO(3)** structures in dimension *five* as the 3-form

$$\phi = \sigma_1 \wedge \theta^5 + \sigma_2 \wedge \theta^6 + \sigma_3 \wedge \theta^7 + \theta^5 \wedge \theta^6 \wedge \theta^7$$

and the self-dual 2-forms

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1 &= \theta^1 \wedge \theta^3 + \theta^4 \wedge \theta^2 \\ \sigma_2 &= \theta^4 \wedge \theta^1 + \theta^3 \wedge \theta^2 \\ \sigma_3 &= \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 + \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4\end{aligned}$$

play in the relations between **G₂** structures in dimension *seven* and **SU(2)** structures in dimension *four*.