

Symplectic connections and Yang-Mills theory

Lutz Habermann

University of Hanover

(joint work with P. Rosenthal)

Let M be a smooth manifold and let $\mathcal{C}(M)$ denote the space of linear connections on M .

$\mathcal{C}(M)$ is an affine space over the vector space $\Omega^1(M, \text{End}(TM))$. The torsion $T^\nabla \in \Omega^2(M, TM)$ of $\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ is defined by

$$T^\nabla(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y].$$

The mapping $\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(M) \mapsto T^\nabla \in \Omega^2(M, TM)$ is affine and the associated linear map $\Phi : \Omega^1(M, \text{End}(TM)) \rightarrow \Omega^2(M, TM)$ is given by

$$\Phi(\theta)(X, Y) = \theta(X)Y - \theta(Y)X.$$

Let g be a Riemannian metric on M and let $\mathcal{C}(M, g) \subset \mathcal{C}(M)$ denote the affine subspace of metric connections on M . The vector space associated to $\mathcal{C}(M, g)$ is

$$\mathcal{E}(M, g) = \{ \theta \in \Omega^1(M, \text{End}(TM)) : \\ g(\theta(X)Y, Z) = -g(\theta(X)Z, Y) \} .$$

Proposition

The restriction of Φ to $\mathcal{E}(M, g)$ is an isomorphism onto $\Omega^2(M, TM)$. Thus $\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(M, g) \mapsto T^\nabla \in \Omega^2(M, TM)$ is 1 : 1. In particular, there is a unique $\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(M, g)$ with $T^\nabla = 0$, called Levi-Civita connection.

Let ω be an almost symplectic structure (i.e. a non-degenerate 2-form) on M .

$\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ is called **symplectic** if $\nabla\omega = 0$, i.e. if

$$X(\omega(Y, Z)) = \omega(\nabla_X Y, Z) + \omega(Y, \nabla_X Z) .$$

We do not require that ∇ is torsion-free.

The space $\mathcal{C}(M, \omega)$ of symplectic connections on M is an affine subspace of $\mathcal{C}(M)$ and the associated vector space is

$$\mathcal{E}(M, \omega) = \left\{ \theta \in \Omega^1(M, \text{End}(TM)) : \right. \\ \left. \omega(\theta(X)Y, Z) = \omega(\theta(X)Z, Y) \right\} .$$

Proposition

- $\theta \in \mathcal{E}(M, \omega)$ satisfies $\Phi(\theta) = 0$ iff $\omega(\theta(X)Y, Z)$ is totally symmetric in X, Y, Z .
- $\Phi(\mathcal{E}(M, \omega))$ is the space of all $\zeta \in \Omega^2(M, TM)$ such that

$$\omega(\zeta(X, Y), Z) + \omega(\zeta(Y, Z), X) + \omega(\zeta(Z, X), Y) = 0 .$$

Corollary

$\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(M, \omega) \mapsto T^\nabla \in \Omega^2(M, TM)$ is neither injective nor onto. Moreover, $\{\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(M, \omega) : T^\nabla = \zeta\}$ for $\zeta \in \Omega^2(M, TM)$ is empty or infinite dimensional.

Proposition

There exists a torsion-free symplectic connection iff ω is a symplectic structure, i.e. iff $d\omega = 0$.

Consequence: In symplectic geometry, there is no analog of the Levi-Civita connection.

Question: Can one find preferred symplectic connections in other ways?

In 1999, Bourgeois and Cahen suggested to use a variational principle for a Yang-Mills type functional. However, their considerations are restricted to torsion-free connections.

Reasons for considering symplectic connections with torsion:

- In **String theory**, one is interested in metric connections with “good” but non-trivial torsion.
- **Hermitian connections** with respect to a compatible almost complex structure can be used. There, one has distinguished connections.
- For the construction of **symplectic Dirac operators**, some symplectic connections with torsion seem to be more suitable than torsion-free connections.
- One can take into considerations also **almost symplectic** manifolds.
- The suggested approach can be generalized to **connections on vector bundles**.

The last point will give a Yang-Mills theory in a purely symplectic context.

In

H. Urakawa: Yang-Mills theory over compact symplectic manifolds. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 25, 365-402 (2004).

one deals with usual Yang-Mills theory but in a symplectic framework. Namely, there one considers

$$\frac{1}{2n!} \int_M g(R^\nabla, R^\nabla) \omega^n,$$

where g is a compatible Riemannian metric.

In the following, let $s = (e_1, \dots, e_{2n})$ be a local symplectic frame on M . Here “symplectic” means that

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(e_i, e_j) &= \omega(e_{n+i}, e_{n+j}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \\ \omega(e_i, e_{n+j}) &= \delta_{ij} \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, n.\end{aligned}$$

Let J^s be the local almost complex structure

$$J^s e_i = e_{n+i} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let $\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(M)$. The **curvature** $R^\nabla \in \Omega^2(M, \text{End}(TM))$ is

$$R^\nabla(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z.$$

The **Ricci tensor** $\text{ric}^\nabla \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes T^*M)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ric}^\nabla(X, Y) &= \text{Tr}(Z \mapsto R^\nabla(Z, X)Y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \omega(R^\nabla(e_i, X)Y, J^s e_i).\end{aligned}$$

We define the **symplectic Ricci operator** $\text{sRic}^\nabla \in \Gamma(\text{End}(TM))$ by

$$\text{sRic}^\nabla(X) = R^\nabla(\omega)X = \sum_{i=1}^n R^\nabla(e_i, J^s e_i)X$$

and the **symplectic Ricci tensor** $\text{sric}^\nabla \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes T^*M)$ by

$$\text{sric}^\nabla(X, Y) = \omega(\text{sRic}^\nabla(X), Y) .$$

Remark: If ω is the Kähler form of an almost Hermitian structure (g, J) and ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of g , then sric is the so-called $*$ -Ricci tensor.

Proposition

If ∇ is symplectic and torsion-free, then $\text{sric}^\nabla = \text{ric}^\nabla$.

Proposition

For any symplectic connection ∇ , $\text{sric}^\nabla(X, Y) = \text{sric}^\nabla(Y, X)$.

Proof: This follows from

$$\omega(R^\nabla(X, Y)Z_1, Z_2) = \omega(R^\nabla(X, Y)Z_2, Z_1)$$

for any $\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(M, \omega)$. □

However: For a general $\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(M, \omega)$, $\text{sric} \neq \text{ric}$ and ric is not symmetric.

The symplectic Ricci tensor seems to be more adapted to symplectic geometry than the usual one, since

- sric^∇ is symmetric.
- sric^∇ arises in a natural way in the study of symplectic Dirac operators.
- sRic^∇ can be generalized to connections in a vector bundle.

The **symplectic Ricci operator** $\text{sRic} \in \Gamma(\text{End}(E))$ of a connection ∇ in a vector bundle E on M is defined by

$$\text{sRic}(\xi) = R^\nabla(\omega)\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n R^\nabla(e_i, J^s e_i)\xi .$$

We consider a closed symplectic manifold (M, ω) and a real vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ with a symplectic (or Riemannian) structure h .

Let $\mathfrak{s} = (\mathfrak{e}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{e}_{2m})$ be a local symplectic frame in E and let $J^{\mathfrak{s}}$ be the local almost complex structure in E given by

$$J^{\mathfrak{s}}\mathfrak{e}_i = \mathfrak{e}_{m+i} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m.$$

For $K, L \in \Gamma(\text{End}(E))$,

$$h(K, L) = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} h(K\mathfrak{e}_i, LJ^{\mathfrak{s}}\mathfrak{e}_i).$$

Furthermore, for $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^k(M, \text{End}(E))$,

$$h(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n} h(\alpha(\mathfrak{e}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathfrak{e}_{i_k}), \beta(J^{\mathfrak{s}}\mathfrak{e}_{i_1}, \dots, J^{\mathfrak{s}}\mathfrak{e}_{i_k})).$$

Let $\mathcal{C}(E, h)$ denote the space of all connections ∇ in E such that

$$X(h(\xi_1, \xi_2)) = h(\nabla_X \xi_1, \xi_2) + h(\xi_2, \nabla_X \xi_1) \quad \text{for } \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(E).$$

$\mathcal{C}(E, h)$ is an affine space over

$$\mathcal{E}(E, h) = \{ \theta \in \Omega^1(M, \text{End}(E)) : \omega(\theta(X)\xi_1, \xi_2) = \omega(\theta(X)\xi_2, \xi_1) \}.$$

We now consider the following two functionals.

$$I_1 : \mathcal{C}(E, h) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad I_1(\nabla) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M h(R^\nabla, R^\nabla) \omega^{(n)},$$

$$I_2 : \mathcal{C}(E, h) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad I_2(\nabla) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M h(\text{sRic}^\nabla, \text{sRic}^\nabla) \omega^{(n)},$$

where

$$\omega^{(k)} = \frac{1}{k!} \omega^k.$$

Theorem

For $i = 1, 2$, a connection $\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(E, h)$ is a critical point of I_i iff $\nabla_s \text{Ric}^\nabla = 0$.

Sketch of the proof:

Step 1: $dI_1(\nabla)\theta = \int_M h(d^\nabla \theta, R^\nabla) \omega^{(n)}$

Step 2: Define $*$: $\Omega^k(M, \text{End}(E)) \rightarrow \Omega^{2n-k}(M, \text{End}(E))$ by

$$h(\alpha, \beta) \omega^{(n)} = h(\alpha \wedge * \beta) \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^k(M, \text{End}(E)).$$

Set $\delta^\nabla = (-1)^{k+1} * d^\nabla * : \Omega^{k+1}(M, \text{End}(E)) \rightarrow \Omega^k(M, \text{End}(E))$.

Then

$$\int_M h(d^\nabla \alpha, \beta) \omega^{(n)} = \int_M h(\alpha, \delta^\nabla \beta) \omega^{(n)}.$$

Thus: ∇ is critical for I_1 iff $d^\nabla * R^\nabla = 0$.

Step 3: For $\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(E, h)$ and $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M, \text{End}(E))$,

$$\delta^\nabla \alpha = -d^\nabla \alpha(\omega).$$

Step 4: Let ∇^t be a curve in $\mathcal{C}(E, h)$ with

$$\nabla^0 = \nabla \quad \text{and} \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} \nabla^t \right|_{t=0} = \theta.$$

Then

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \text{sRic}^{\nabla^t} \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} R^{\nabla^t}(\omega) \right|_{t=0} = d^\nabla \theta(\omega) = -\delta^\nabla \theta.$$

Hence

$$dl_2(\nabla)\theta = - \int_M h(\delta^\nabla \theta, \text{sRic}^\nabla) \omega^{(n)} = - \int_M h(\theta, \nabla \text{sRic}^\nabla) \omega^{(n)}.$$

Thus: ∇ is critical for l_2 iff $\nabla \text{sRic}^\nabla = 0$.

Step 5: For $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M, \text{End}(E))$ and $\beta \in \Omega^2(M, \text{End}(E))$,

$$*\alpha = \alpha \wedge \omega^{(n-1)}$$

and

$$*\beta = \beta(\omega) \otimes \omega^{(n-1)} - \beta \wedge \omega^{(n-2)} .$$

Remark: Until now, we have not used that $d\omega = 0$.

Step 6: One gets

$$\begin{aligned}
 d^\nabla * R^\nabla &= d^\nabla \left(R^\nabla(\omega) \otimes \omega^{(n-1)} - R^\nabla \wedge \omega^{(n-2)} \right) \\
 &= d^\nabla \left(\text{sRic}^\nabla \otimes \omega^{(n-1)} \right) - d^\nabla R^\nabla \wedge \omega^{(n-2)} \\
 &\quad - R^\nabla \wedge d\omega^{(n-2)} \\
 &= \nabla \text{sRic}^\nabla \wedge \omega^{(n-1)} \\
 &= * \nabla \text{sRic}^\nabla .
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d^\nabla * R^\nabla = 0$ iff

$$\nabla \text{sRic}^\nabla = 0 . \quad (\text{sYM})$$

□

Remarks:

- One can show that $I_2 - I_1 = \text{const.}$
- In

*D. Yan: Hodge structure on symplectic manifolds.
Adv. Math. 120, 142-154 (1996)*

it is proven that, for any $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M, \text{End}(E))$,

$$\delta^\nabla \alpha = d^\nabla(\omega \lrcorner \alpha) - \omega \lrcorner d^\nabla \alpha .$$

(cp. Steps 3 and 6)

- There is no analog of the Hermitian Yang-Mills equation, since

$$s\text{Ric}^\nabla = \lambda \text{id}_E$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ implies $s\text{Ric}^\nabla = 0$.

- Find solutions of (sYM).
- Which symplectic manifolds (M, ω) admit a solution $\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(M, \omega)$ of (sYM). By results of Cahen, Gutt et al., the existence of torsion-free solutions restricts the topology.
- Study the corresponding moduli space.
- What about a **symplectic Yang-Mills flow**?
- Study **self-dual** and **anti-self-dual** connections in dimension 4.

Proposition

If $\dim M = 4$, then

- $*R^\nabla = R^\nabla$ iff $R^\nabla = L \otimes \omega$ for a $L \in \Gamma(\text{End}(E))$.
- $*R^\nabla = -R^\nabla$ iff $\text{sRic}^\nabla = 0$.

Proof: This follows from

$$*R^\nabla = R^\nabla(\omega) \otimes \omega - R^\nabla = \text{sRic}^\nabla \otimes \omega - R^\nabla .$$

□

Remark: $R^\nabla = L \otimes \omega$ and $\text{sRic}^\nabla = 0$ yield solutions of (sYM) also in arbitrary dimensions.

Let $\mathcal{J}(M, \omega)$ be the space of all almost complex structures on M that are ω -**compatible**, i.e.

$$g(X, Y) := \omega(X, JY)$$

is a Riemannian metric on M . For $J \in \mathcal{J}(M, \omega)$, set

$$\mathcal{C}(M, \omega, J) = \{\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(M, \omega) : \nabla J = 0\}$$

and consider the functional

$$I_{2,J} : \mathcal{C}(M, \omega, J) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad I_{2,J} = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \omega(\text{sRic}^\nabla, \text{sRic}^\nabla) \omega^{(n)}.$$

Proposition

$\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(M, \omega, J)$ is a critical point of $I_{2,J}$ iff $\nabla \text{sRic}^\nabla = 0$.

Proof: This follows from the theorem above and the fact that

$$\nabla \in \mathcal{C}(M, \omega, J) \mapsto \nabla \text{sRic}^\nabla \in \Omega^1(M, \text{End}(TM))$$

is a vector field on $\mathcal{C}(M, \omega, J)$. □

Fixing $J \in \mathcal{J}(M, \omega)$, we can define a **symplectic scalar curvature** by

$$\text{sscal}^{\nabla, J} = \text{Tr}(J \circ \text{sRic}^\nabla) = \text{Tr}_g(\text{sric}^\nabla) .$$

Let

$$\mathcal{B}(M, \omega) = \{(\nabla, J) \in \mathcal{C}(M, \omega) \times \mathcal{J}(M, \omega) : \nabla J = 0\}$$

and consider the functionals

$$I_3 : \mathcal{B}(M, \omega, J) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad I_3(\nabla, J) = \int_M \text{sscal}^{\nabla, J} \omega^{(n)},$$

$$I_4 : \mathcal{B}(M, \omega, J) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad I_4(\nabla, J) = \int_M \omega(\text{sRic}^{\nabla, J} \circ \text{sRic}^{\nabla, J}) \omega^{(n)}.$$

Proposition

The functionals I_3 and I_4 are constant. In particular,

$$I_3(\nabla, J) = 4\pi c_1(M, \omega) \cap [\omega]^{n-1} [M]$$

for any $(\nabla, J) \in \mathcal{B}(M, \omega)$.