

On invariants of Fedosov manifolds

Stanislav Dubrovskiy
(Keio University)

Kühlungsborn
March 14, 2006

We consider moduli spaces of two structures:

- Fedosov structure $\Phi = (M, \omega, \nabla)$, $\nabla\omega = 0$

Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry, 2005
math.DG/0310469

and the "baby" example:

- Symmetric connection ∇ (or Γ)

Zapiski Seminarov POMI, 2002
math.DG/0112291

There is **deformation quantization** on Φ

(B.Fedosov - canonical construction, and
M. De Wilde - P. B. A. Lecompte, 1980's)

Subject of **Functional Moduli** has its **origins** in local classification problems of classical analysis.

Typical outcome of a classification is a **Normal Form** depending on **m** arbitrary functions of **n** variables, so called

functional moduli.

Q.: Are **m** and **n** - *intrinsic* to the problem (not dependent on many choices made while solving it, e.g. of specific local coordinates)?

Universal approach is given by *Poincaré series*.

Classifying geometric structures at a point amounts to the following:

Consider the space \mathcal{F} of germs of the structure, and take a quotient under the action of smooth coordinate changes (preserving the point).

The same action on a space of k -jets, \mathcal{F}_k is more tractable. In particular, the moduli space \mathcal{M}_k is finite-dimensional.

Poincaré series encodes all these dimensions, "moduli numbers", in a single series.

Action

$$G := \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n, 0) : \quad \mathcal{F} \circlearrowleft \quad \mathcal{F}_k \circlearrowleft$$

germs k -jets

Moduli spaces

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{F}/G \qquad \mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{F}_k/G$$

$$\dim \mathcal{M} = \infty \qquad \dim \mathcal{M}_k < \infty$$

Definition Formal power series

$$p_S(t) = \dim \mathcal{M}_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\dim \mathcal{M}_k - \dim \mathcal{M}_{k-1}) t^k$$

is called the *Poincaré series* of S .

Remark If S does depend on \mathbf{m} arbitrary functions of \mathbf{n} variables, then:

$$p_S(t) = \frac{\mathbf{m}}{(1-t)^{\mathbf{n}}},$$

a *rational* function.

Indeed, dimension of moduli spaces of k -jets is the number of monomials up to the order k in the formal power series of the m given invariants:

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_k = \mathbf{m} \binom{\mathbf{n} + k}{\mathbf{n}}.$$

Problem(Arnold, 1999)

Is it true that the Poincaré series in most of the local problems of analysis are *rational* functions?

In **commutative algebra** in a similar setting there is the Hilbert basis theorem (finiteness of the bases of the ideals of analytic function germs).

However this problem belongs to **differential algebra**.

A **finiteness** theorem in differential algebra
(as formulated by Tresse, 1894):

For any “*natural*” differential-geometric structure, all the differential invariants are generated by a finite number of invariant functions and of invariant vector fields (as differential polynomials).

However, the proof is not rigorous, conditions are not precise.

Contributions

A. Einstein: Rigidity of scalar wave equation
 Maxwell equation in vacuum
 Gravity field equation

A.S. Shmelev (1990's): Riemannian structure
 Kähler structure
 hyper-Kähler structure

A. Vershik - V. Gershkovich (1988):
 distributions in \mathbb{R}^n

Theorem(S.D. 2004)

For the symmetric connection and the Fedosov structure, the Poincaré series coefficients are polynomial in k , and the series are:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\Gamma}(t) &= (\delta_1^n + \delta_2^n - n^2)t - \delta_2^n t^2 \\ &+ n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \binom{n+k-1}{n-1} - n \binom{n+k+1}{n-1} \right] t^k \\ p_{\Phi}(t) &= -\binom{2n+1}{2} t^2 + \delta_{2n}^2 (t^2 - t^3) \\ &+ t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\binom{2n+2}{3} \binom{2n+k-1}{2n-1} - \binom{2n+k+2}{2n-1} \right] t^k . \end{aligned}$$

Both are **rational** in t , confirming the *finiteness* assertion of Tresse.

Moduli space of symmetric connections

Goal: $p_\Gamma(t)$, main problem:

describing a stabilizer of generic k -jet.

Connections ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$
have the same k -jet at 0 if

$$\forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T\mathbb{R}^n), \forall f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

the functions $\nabla_X Y(f)$ and $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y(f)$
have the same k -jet at 0.

$j^k(\Gamma)$ will denote the k -jet of Γ .

Action of $G := \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ on \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}_k

$$\varphi : \Gamma \mapsto \varphi^* \Gamma, \quad j^k \Gamma \mapsto j^k(\varphi^* \Gamma),$$

where

$$(\varphi^* \nabla)_X Y = \varphi_*^{-1} \left(\nabla_{\varphi_* X} \varphi_* Y \right)$$

Consider a filtration of G by normal subgroups:

$$G = G_1 \triangleright G_2 \triangleright G_3 \triangleright \dots,$$

where

$$G_k = \{ \varphi \in G \mid \varphi(x) = x + O(|x|^k) \} .$$

G_k acts trivially on \mathcal{F}_p for $k \geq p + 3$,

e.g.

$$G|_{\mathcal{F}_p} = G/G_{p+3}|_{\mathcal{F}_p}$$

Consider instead infinitesimal action of

$$\text{Vect}_0(\mathbb{R}^n) = \text{Lie}(G)$$

(To calculate dimensions, go into tangent space.)

Definition For $V \in \text{Vect}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ generating a local 1-parameter subgroup g^t of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$, the Lie derivative of a connection ∇ in the direction V is a (1,2)-tensor:

$$\mathcal{L}_V \nabla = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} g^{t*} \nabla$$

Lemma

$$(\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, Y) = [V, \nabla_X Y] - \nabla_{[V, X]} Y - \nabla_X [V, Y]$$

This defines the action on germs, on jets:

$$\mathcal{L}_V(j^k \Gamma) = j^k(\mathcal{L}_V \Gamma) .$$

Why is it well-defined

(Right Hand Side depends on $(j^k \Gamma)$ only)?

In coordinates, $(\mathcal{L}_V \Gamma)_{ij}^l =$

$$V^k \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^l}{\partial x^k} - \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial V^l}{\partial x^k} + \Gamma_{kj}^l \frac{\partial V^k}{\partial x^i} + \Gamma_{ik}^l \frac{\partial V^k}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial^2 V^l}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}$$

$$= (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_V \Gamma) + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}$$

Elements of k -th order and less are only contributed by $j^k \Gamma$, because $V(0) = 0$.

Action is well-defined means the following is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} j^0 \mathcal{F} & \leftarrow & \dots & \leftarrow & j^{k-1} \mathcal{F} & \xleftarrow{\pi_k} & j^k \mathcal{F} & \leftarrow & \dots & \leftarrow & \mathcal{F} \\ \downarrow \mathcal{L}_V & & & & \downarrow \mathcal{L}_V & & \downarrow \mathcal{L}_V & & & & \downarrow \mathcal{L}_V \\ j^0 \Pi & \leftarrow & \dots & \leftarrow & j^{k-1} \Pi & \xleftarrow{\pi_k} & j^k \Pi & \leftarrow & \dots & \leftarrow & \Pi \end{array}$$

π_k is the natural projection,
 \mathcal{F} and Π - spaces of germs of connections,
and (1,2)-tensors at 0.

The space of orbits

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{F} / \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$$

is called the *moduli space* of symmetric connections at 0, and

$$\mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{F}_k / \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$$

- the moduli space of connection k -jets.

The action is algebraic, a subspace

$$\mathcal{F}_k^0 \subset \mathcal{F}_k$$

of points on generic orbits

(those of largest dimension)

is a smooth manifold, open and dense in \mathcal{F}_k .

\mathcal{M}_k^0 is the corresponding moduli space.

Define:

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_k := \dim \mathcal{M}_k^0 .$$

To calculate Poincaré series, we need:

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_k = \dim \mathcal{F}_k - \dim \mathcal{O}_k$$

$$\dim \mathcal{O}_k = \text{codim } G_\Gamma$$

Stabilizer of a generic k-jet G_Γ

V stabilizes Γ iff:

$$\mathcal{L}_V(j^k \Gamma) = 0$$

In local coordinates, consider grading in homogeneous components:

$$V = V_1 + V_2 + \dots$$

($V_0 = 0$, since V preserves the origin),

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1 + \dots$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_V(j^k \Gamma) &= j^k \mathcal{L}_V(\Gamma) \\ &= j^k \mathcal{L}_{V_1+V_2+\dots}(\Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1 + \dots + \Gamma_k + \dots)\end{aligned}$$

We arrive at the stabilizer system (*):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}_{V_1} \Gamma_0 + \frac{\partial^2 V_2}{\partial x^2} = 0 \\ \mathcal{L}_{V_1} \Gamma_1 + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_2} \Gamma_0 + \frac{\partial^2 V_3}{\partial x^2} = 0 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_{k+1}} \Gamma_0 + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_k} \Gamma_1 + \dots + \mathcal{L}_{V_1} \Gamma_k + \frac{\partial^2 V_{k+2}}{\partial x^2} = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

Need to find all $(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{k+2})$ solving this for a generic Γ . Assuming V_1 - arbitrary, find V_2 using the following PDE lemma.

PDE Lemma Given a family $\{f_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ of smooth functions, solution u for the system:

$$\begin{cases} u_{,kl} = f_{kl} \\ 1 \leq k, l \leq n \end{cases}$$

exists iff

$$\begin{cases} f_{ij} = f_{ji} \\ f_{ij,k} = f_{kj,i} \end{cases}$$

If f_{ij} are homogeneous polynomials of degree $s \geq 0$, then u can be uniquely chosen as a polynomial of degree $s + 2$.

If we treat highest-order V_k in each equation of (*) as an unknown, then PDE Lemma imposes compatibility conditions of the sort:

$$(\mathcal{L}_V \Gamma)_{ij,p}^l = (\mathcal{L}_V \Gamma)_{pj,i}^l$$

These are trivial for the 1st equation in (*)
 $\Rightarrow \exists! V_2$

However, to find V_3 from the next equation, we must have:

$$(\mathcal{L}_{V_1}\Gamma_1 + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_2}\Gamma_0)_{ij,p} = (\mathcal{L}_{V_1}\Gamma_1 + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_2}\Gamma_0)_{pj,i} \quad (**)$$

These are $\frac{n^3(n-1)}{2}$ equations on n^2 variables.

Proposition For $n \geq 3$, $(**) \Rightarrow V = 0$.

Idea of **proof**.

Consider $(**)$ as a homogeneous linear system on components of V_1 , and show it's non-degenerate in general position. We construct a specific connection Γ , and a suitable minor of the system, that is non-degenerate. Since it is an open condition, it would be generically true.

This allows to write the Poincaré series.

Special coordinates

In affine normal coordinates, the stabilizer system (*) is considerably simplified:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{V_1}\Gamma_0 = 0 \\ \mathcal{L}_{V_1}\Gamma_1 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{L}_{V_1}\Gamma_{k-1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

As a tradeoff, there are extra symmetry conditions on Christoffel symbols Γ . Nonetheless, the proof is much simpler.

The idea was suggested by A.Vlassov.

For Fedosov structure Φ , the special coordinates are Darboux coordinates of ω .

In constructing $p_\Phi(t)$, I relied on results in

“Fedosov Manifolds”

Gelfand, Retakh and Shubin, 1997.

Interpreting results

Fact If the coefficient $a(k)$ of the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a(k)t^k \quad (***)$$

is polynomial in k , then the series is a rational function.

Indeed, denote

$$\varphi_m(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^m t^k, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

then

$$\varphi_m(t) = \left(t \frac{d}{dt} \right) \varphi_{m-1}(t) \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus

$$\varphi_m(t) = \left(t \frac{d}{dt} \right)^m \varphi_0(t) = \left(t \frac{d}{dt} \right)^m \left(\frac{1}{1-t} \right).$$

The recipe for converting (***) is:

“Switch $k \rightarrow t \frac{d}{dt}$, and drop the Σ !”

Poincaré series - explicit formulas

$$p_{\Gamma}(t) = (\delta_1^n - n^2)t + (t - t^2)\delta_2^n$$

$$+ n \left(\frac{\binom{n+1}{2} - 1}{(1-t)^n} - \frac{2}{(1-t)^{n-1}} - \cdots - \frac{n}{(1-t)} \right)$$

$$p_{\Phi}(t) = -n(2n+1)t^2 + \delta_{2n}^2(t^2 - t^3)$$

$$+ \frac{\binom{2n+2}{3} - 1}{(1-t)^{2n}} - \frac{\binom{2n+2}{3} + 2}{(1-t)^{2n-1}} - \frac{3}{(1-t)^{2n-2}}$$

$$\cdots - \frac{k+1}{(1-t)^{2n-k}} - \cdots - \frac{2n}{(1-t)} + n(2n+1)$$

Thus the Poincaré series are not just any rational functions, but of the form required by the Tresse finiteness claim (poles exclusively at $t = 1$).